arXiv:1301.3917v1 [math.DS] 16 Jan 2013

Rigidity of Julia sets for Hénon type maps

Tien-Cuong Dinh and Nessim Sibony

January 18, 2013

Abstract

We prove that the Julia set of a Hénon type automorphism on $\mathbb{C}^2$ is very rigid: it supports a unique positive dd^c-closed current of mass 1. A similar property holds for the cohomology class of the Green current associated to an automorphism with positive entropy on a compact Kähler surface. Relations between this phenomenon, several quantitative equidistribution properties and the theory of value distribution will be discussed. We also survey some rigidity properties of Hénon type maps on $\mathbb{C}^k$ and of automorphisms of compact Kähler manifolds.

Classification AMS 2010: 37-02, 37F10, 32H30, 32H50, 32U90.
Keywords: Hénon map, holomorphic automorphism, Julia set, Green current, Nevanlinna theory, rigidity.

1 Introduction

The aim of these notes is to explore a rigidity phenomenon for polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^k$ and also for holomorphic automorphisms of compact Kähler manifolds. This property plays a central role in the dynamical study of these maps and can be seen as a strong ergodicity in the complex setting.

Consider first, for simplicity, a polynomial automorphism in $\mathbb{C}^2$ of Hénon type

$$f(z_1, z_2) = (p(z_1) + az_2, z_1)$$

where p is a one variable polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Denote by f^n the iterate of order n of f and define

$$K_+ := \big\{z \in \mathbb{C}^2,\ (f^n(z))_{n\geq 0} \text{ is bounded}\big\}.$$

It was shown by J.-E. Fornæss and the second author that K_+ supports a unique positive closed $(1,1)$-current of mass 1, the so-called Green curent T_+ [35], see also [29]. Here we show that indeed T_+ is the unique positive dd^c-closed $(1,1)$-current of mass 1 with support in K_+. As a direct consequence, we get that if τ_n are positive $(1,1)$-currents of mass 1 such that $\mathrm{supp}(\tau_n)$ converge to K_+ and $dd^c\tau_n$ converge to 0 then τ_n converge to T_+. This observation permits to explore the rigidity property and deduce dynamical properties of f.

Consider for example any non-constant holomorphic map

$$\phi : \mathbb{C} \to K_+$$

and the currents of integration on the images of discs of center 0 and of radius $\leq r$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Using a classical idea from Nevanlinna theory, one can average these currents with appropriate weight in order to get a family of currents τ_r (Nevanlinna's currents) satisfying the above properties, see Section 4 for details. They necessarily converge to T_+ as $r \to \infty$. One can replace $\mathbb{C}$ with a parabolic Riemann surface or a disc on which ϕ satisfies an appropriate growth condition.

What is striking in the previous result is the claim that the currents τ_r not only converge, but that the limit is somehow independent of ϕ. The result applies for example when ϕ parametrizes a stable manifold associated to f and gives us a rough information about the behavior of this stable manifold.

We then extend the result to holomorphic automorphisms of compact Kähler surfaces. Let $f : X \to X$ be an automorphism of positive entropy of a compact Kähler surface X. We will show that the Green $(1,1)$-current T_+ associated to f is the unique positive dd^c-closed $(1,1)$-current in its cohomology class $\{T_+\}$. This current is positive and closed, see [10, 26, 30]. In this case, if a holomorphic map $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to X$ satisfies $\phi^*(T_+) = 0$, then Nevanlinna's currents τ_r associated to ϕ also converge to T_+ as $r \to \infty$.

The condition $\phi^*(T) = 0$ is realized in particular when a subsequence of $(f^n)_{n\geq 0}$ is locally equicontinuous on the image $\phi(\mathbb{C})$. In the case where T_+ can be expressed on an open set as an average of currents of integration on disjoint Riemann surfaces, then $\phi^*(T) = 0$ means that the image of ϕ is along these Riemann surfaces.

This point of view provides a strong analogy between equidistribution properties for stable manifolds in discrete holomorphic dynamics and ergodic properties of foliations by Riemann surfaces as developed in [22, 36, 37]. We however do not discuss here the theory of foliations. Though the dictionary between the theory of discrete holomorphic dynamical systems in several variables and the theory of foliations deserves to be explored further.

We also consider in this paper several equidistribution properties towards the Green currents with precise control of the convergence speed. A large class of polynomial automorphisms on $\mathbb{C}^k$ and automorphisms of higher dimensional compact Kähler manifolds are also studied.

The plan of the article is as follows. In Section 2, we recall basic results in pluripotential theory that will be used later. Further results for currents in compact Kähler manifolds are given in Section 3. The notions of rigid set and rigid cohomology class are introduced in Section 4. Hénon maps are considered in Sections 5 and 6 while automorphisms of compact Kähler surfaces are treated in Section 7 and the higher dimensional dynamics in Section 8. It is possible to start reading from Section 5 and come back to the technical tools developed in Sections 2, 3 and 4, in particular to the important estimate given in Corollary 3.13.

Finally, we refer to Bedford-Lyubich-Smillie, de Thélin and Dinh-Sibony [4, 5, 7, 17, 32] for the ergodic properties of the measures of maximal entropy and the distribution of periodic points in the case of Hénon type maps. The Green currents and the measure of maximal entropy were introduced by the second author of the present paper as noticed in [4, p.78]. For automorphisms of compact Kähler manifolds see Cantat, de Thélin-Dinh, Dinh-Sibony [10, 18, 26, 30, 32], for the semi-local setting of horizontal-like maps see Dinh-Nguyen-Sibony, Dujardin [23, 27, 33], for non-injective holomorphic maps see the survey [31] and the references therein.

2 Positive closed currents and p.s.h. functions

In this section, we recall briefly the notions of positive closed currents and of plurisubharmonic (p.s.h. for short) functions on a complex manifold. We refer to [14, 31] for an account of that theory. The readers who are familiar with pluripotential theory may skip this section.

• **Differential forms on complex manifolds.** Let X be a complex manifold of dimension k, e.g. $\mathbb{C}^k$ or an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^k$. Let φ be a differential l-form on X. In local holomorphic coordinates $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_k)$, it can be written as

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{|I|+|J|=l} \varphi_{IJ} dz_I \wedge d\overline{z}_J,$$

where φ_{IJ} are complex-valued functions, $dz_I := dz_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz_{i_p}$ if $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_p)$, and $d\overline{z}_J := d\overline{z}_{j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d\overline{z}_{j_q}$ if $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_q)$.

The *conjugate* of φ is defined by

$$\overline{\varphi}(z) := \sum_{|I|+|J|=l} \overline{\varphi}_{IJ} d\overline{z}_I \wedge dz_J.$$

The form φ is *real* if and only if $\varphi = \overline{\varphi}$.

We say that φ is a form of *of bidegree* (p, q) if $\varphi_{IJ} = 0$ when $(|I|, |J|) \neq (p, q)$. The bidegree does not depend on the choice of local holomorphic coordinates. Let $T_X^{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the complexification of the tangent bundle of X. The complex structure on X induces a linear endomorphism $\mathscr{J}$ on the fibers of $T_X^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\mathscr{J}^2 = -\mathrm{id}$. This endomorphism induces a decomposition of $T_X^{\mathbb{C}}$ into the direct sum of two proper sub-bundles of dimension k: *the holomorphic part* $T_X^{1,0}$

associated to the eigenvalue $\sqrt{-1}$ of $\mathscr{J}$, and the *anti-holomorphic part* $T_X^{0,1}$ associated to the eigenvalue $-\sqrt{-1}$. Let $\Omega_X^{1,0}$ and $\Omega_X^{0,1}$ denote the dual bundles of $T_X^{1,0}$ and $T_X^{0,1}$. We can consider (p,q)-forms as sections of the vector bundle $\bigwedge^p \Omega^{1,0} \otimes \bigwedge^q \Omega^{0,1}$.

If φ is a (p,q)-form then the differential $d\varphi$ is the sum of a $(p+1,q)$-form and a $(p,q+1)$-form. We denote by $\partial\varphi$ the part of bidegree $(p+1,q)$ and by $\overline{\partial}\varphi$ the the part of bidegree $(p,q+1)$. The operators ∂ and $\overline{\partial}$ extend linearly to arbitrary forms φ. The operator d is real, i.e. it sends real forms to real forms but ∂ and $\overline{\partial}$ are not real. The identity $d\circ d = 0$ implies that $\partial\circ\partial = 0$, $\overline{\partial}\circ\overline{\partial} = 0$ and $\partial\overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial}\partial = 0$.

Define $d^c := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}(\overline{\partial} - \partial)$. This operator is real and satisfies $dd^c = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}$. Note that the above operators commute with the pull-back by holomorphic maps. More precisely, if $\tau : X_1 \to X_2$ is a holomorphic map between complex manifolds and φ is a form on X_2 then $df^*(\varphi) = f^*(d\varphi)$, $dd^c f^*(\varphi) = f^*(dd^c\varphi)$, etc. Recall that the form φ is *closed* (resp. ∂-closed, $\overline{\partial}$-closed, dd^c-closed) if $d\varphi$ (resp. $\partial\varphi$, $\overline{\partial}\varphi$, $dd^c\varphi$) vanishes. The form φ is *exact* (resp. ∂-exact, $\overline{\partial}$-exact, dd^c-exact) if it is equal to the differential $d\psi$ (resp. $\partial\psi$, $\overline{\partial}\psi$, $dd^c\psi$) of a form ψ. Clearly, exact forms are closed.

A smooth $(1,1)$-form ω on X is *Hermitian* if it can be written in local coordinates as

$$\omega(z) = \sqrt{-1}\sum_{1\leq i,j\leq k} \alpha_{ij}(z)dz_i \wedge d\overline{z}_j,$$

where α_{ij} are smooth functions such that the matrix (α_{ij}) is Hermitian. We consider a form ω such that the matrix (α_{ij}) is positive definite at every point. It is strictly positive in the sense that we will introduce later. The form ω induces a so-called *Hermitian metric* on X as follows.

The form ω is always real and induces a norm on the tangent spaces of X. So it defines a Riemannian metric on X. For example, the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{C}^k$ is associated to the standard Hermitian form

$$\beta := \sqrt{-1}\sum_{1\leq i\leq k} dz_i \wedge d\overline{z}_i.$$

In general, for each point $a \in X$, we can choose local coordinates z near a such that $z = 0$ at a and

$$\omega = \sqrt{-1}\sum_{1\leq i\leq k} dz_i \wedge d\overline{z}_i \quad \text{at} \quad a.$$

So the Riemannian metric associated to ω coincides at a with the Euclidean metric on the above chart. It is easy to construct Hermitian metrics on X using local coordinates and a partition of unity. From now on, we assume that X is endowed with a fixed Hermitian metric ω.

The following result is due to Wirtinger. The remarkable fact is that in order to compute the volume of an analytic set, we have to integrate a form which is independent of the analytic set.

Theorem 2.1 (Wirtinger)**.** *Let Y be an analytic set of pure dimension p in a Hermitian manifold (X,ω). Then the $2p$-dimensional volume of Y in a Borel set K is equal to*

$$\mathrm{vol}(Y\cap K)=\frac{1}{p!}\int_{\mathrm{reg}(Y)\cap K}\omega^p.$$

Here, the volume is with respect to the Riemannian metric induced by ω and $\mathrm{reg}(Y)$ *denotes the set of regular points in Y.*

• **Currents on a complex manifold.** We now introduce positive forms and positive currents on complex manifolds. The concept of positivity is due to Lelong and Oka. The theory has many applications in complex geometry, algebraic geometry and dynamics, see [14, 29].

Let (X,ω) be a Hermitian manifold of dimension k. Recall that *a current* S on X, of degree l and of dimension $2k-l$, is a continuous linear form on the space $\mathscr{D}^{2k-l}(X)$ of smooth $(2k-l)$-forms with compact support in X. Its value on a $(2k-l)$-form $\varphi\in\mathscr{D}^{2k-l}(X)$ is denoted by $S(\varphi)$ or more frequently by $\langle S,\varphi\rangle$. On a chart, S corresponds to a continuous linear form acting on the coefficients of φ. So it can be represented as an l-form with distribution coefficients.

A sequence (S_n) of l-currents *converges* to an l-current S if for every $\varphi\in\mathscr{D}^{2k-l}(X)$, $\langle S_n,\varphi\rangle$ converge to $\langle S,\varphi\rangle$. *The conjugate* of S is the l-current $\overline{S}$ defined by

$$\langle\overline{S},\varphi\rangle:=\overline{\langle S,\overline{\varphi}\rangle},$$

for $\varphi\in\mathscr{D}^{2k-l}(X)$. The current S is *real* if and only if $\overline{S}=S$. *The support* of S is the smallest closed subset $\mathrm{supp}(S)$ of X such that $\langle S,\varphi\rangle=0$ when φ has compact support in $X\setminus\mathrm{supp}(S)$. The current S extends continuously to the space of smooth forms φ such that $\mathrm{supp}(\varphi)\cap\mathrm{supp}(S)$ is compact in X.

If α is a smooth s-form on X with $s\leq 2k-l$, we define the $(l+s)$-current $S\wedge\alpha$ by

$$\langle S\wedge\alpha,\varphi\rangle:=\langle S,\alpha\wedge\varphi\rangle$$

for every form $\varphi\in\mathscr{D}^{2k-l-s}(X)$. Define also $\alpha\wedge S:=(-1)^{ls}S\wedge\alpha$.

If X' is a complex manifold of dimension k' with $2k'\geq 2k-l$, and if $\tau:X\to X'$ is a holomorphic map which is proper on the support of S, we can define *the push-forward* $\tau_*(S)$ of S by τ. The current $\tau_*(S)$ has the same dimension than S, i.e. of degree $2k'-2k+l$ and is supported on $\tau(\mathrm{supp}(S))$. It satisfies

$$\langle\tau_*(S),\varphi\rangle:=\langle S,\tau^*(\varphi)\rangle$$

for $\varphi\in\mathscr{D}^{2k-l}(X')$.

If X' is a complex manifold of dimension $k' \geq k$ and if $\tau : X' \to X$ is a submersion, we can define *the pull-back* $\tau^*(S)$ of S by τ. This is an l-current supported on $\tau^{-1}(\mathrm{supp}(S))$, it satisfies

$$\langle \tau^*(S), \varphi \rangle := \langle S, \tau_*(\varphi) \rangle$$

for $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}^{2k'-l}(X')$. Indeed, since τ is a submersion, the current $\tau_*(\varphi)$ is in fact a smooth form with compact support in X; it is given by an integral of φ on the fibers of τ.

Any smooth differential l-form ψ on X can be considered as a current: it defines the continuous linear form $\varphi \mapsto \int_X \psi \wedge \varphi$ on $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}^{2k-l}(X)$. So currents extend the notion of differential forms. The operators $d, \partial, \overline{\partial}$ on differential forms extend to currents. For example, we have that dS is an $(l+1)$-current defined by

$$\langle dS, \varphi \rangle := (-1)^{l+1} \langle S, d\varphi \rangle$$

for $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}^{2k-l-1}(X)$. One easily check that when S is a smooth form, the above identity is a consequence of the Stokes' formula.

We say that S is of *bidegree* (p, q) and *of bidimension* $(k-p, k-q)$ if it vanishes on forms of bidegree $(r, s) \neq (k-p, k-q)$. The conjugate of a (p, q)-current is of bidegree (q, p). So, if such a current is real, we necessarily have $p = q$. Note that the push-forward and the pull-back by holomorphic maps commute with the above operators. They preserve real currents; the push-forward preserves the bidimension and the pull-back preserves the bidegree.

• **Positive forms and positive currents.** There are three notions of positivity which coincide for the bidegrees $(0, 0)$, $(1, 1)$, $(k-1, k-1)$ and (k, k). Here, we only use two of them. They are dual to each other.

Definition 2.2. A (p, p)-form φ is *(strongly) positive* if at each point, it is equal to a combination with positive coefficients of forms of type

$$(\sqrt{-1}\alpha_1 \wedge \overline{\alpha}_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge (\sqrt{-1}\alpha_p \wedge \overline{\alpha}_p),$$

where α_i are $(1, 0)$-forms.

Any (p, p)-form can be written as a finite combination of positive (p, p)-forms. For example, in local coordinates z, a $(1, 1)$-form ω is written as

$$\omega = \sum_{i,j=1}^{k} \alpha_{ij} \sqrt{-1} dz_i \wedge d\overline{z}_j,$$

where α_{ij} are functions. This form is positive if and only if the matrix (α_{ij}) is positive semi-definite at every point. In local coordinates z, the $(1, 1)$-form $dd^c\|z\|^2$ is positive. One can write $dz_1 \wedge d\overline{z}_2$ as a combination of $dz_1 \wedge d\overline{z}_1$, $dz_2 \wedge d\overline{z}_2$, $d(z_1 \pm z_2) \wedge d\overline{(z_1 \pm z_2)}$ and $d(z_1 \pm \sqrt{-1}z_2) \wedge d\overline{(z_1 \pm \sqrt{-1}z_2)}$. Hence, we see that positive forms generate the space of (p, p)-forms.

Definition 2.3. Let S be a (p,p)-current on X. We say that S is *weakly positive* if $S \wedge \varphi$ is a positive measure for every smooth positive $(k-p,k-p)$-form φ, and that S is *positive* if $S \wedge \varphi$ is a positive measure for every smooth weakly positive $(k-p,k-p)$-form φ.

Positivity implies weak positivity. These properties are preserved under pull-back by holomorphic submersions and push-forward by proper holomorphic maps. Positive and weakly positive forms or currents are real. One can consider positive and weakly positive (p,p)-forms as sections of some bundles of strictly convex closed cones in the real part of the vector bundle $\bigwedge^p \Omega^{1,0} \otimes \bigwedge^p \Omega^{0,1}$.

The wedge-product of a positive current with a positive form is positive. The wedge-product of a weakly positive current with a positive form is weakly positive. Wedge-products of weakly positive forms or currents are not always weakly positive. For real (p,p)-currents or forms S, S', we will write $S \geq S'$ and $S' \leq S$ if $S - S'$ is positive.

Definition 2.4. A (p,p)-current or form S is *strictly positive* if in local coordinates z, there is a constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that $S \geq \epsilon(dd^c\|z\|^2)^p$.

Equivalently, S is strictly positive if we have locally $S \geq \epsilon\omega^p$ with $\epsilon > 0$.

Example 2.5. Let Y be an analytic set of pure codimension p of X. Using the local description of Y near a singularity [41] and Wirtinger's theorem 2.1, one can prove that the $2(k-p)$-dimensional volume of Y is locally finite in X. This allows to define the following (p,p)-current $[Y]$ by

$$\langle [Y], \varphi\rangle := \int_{\mathrm{reg}(Y)} \varphi$$

for φ in $\mathscr{D}^{k-p,k-p}(X)$, the space of smooth $(k-p,k-p)$-forms with compact support in X. Here $\mathrm{reg}(Y)$ denotes the smooth points of Y. Lelong proved that this current is positive and closed [14, 47].

If S is a (weakly) positive (p,p)-current, it is of order 0, i.e. it extends continuously to the space of continuous forms with compact support in X. In other words, on a chart of X, the current S corresponds to a differential form with measure coefficients.

Definition 2.6. The *mass* of a positive (p,p)-current S on a Borel set K is defined by

$$\|S\|_K := \int_K S \wedge \omega^{k-p}.$$

When K is contained in a fixed compact subset of X, we obtain an equivalent norm if we change the Hermitian metric on X. This is a consequence of an above-mentioned property, which says that S takes values in strictly convex closed cones.

Note that the previous mass-norm is just defined by an integral, which is easier to compute or to estimate than the usual mass for currents on real manifolds. For the current $[Y]$ in Example 2.5, by Wirtinger's theorem, the mass on K is equal to $(k-p)!$ times the volume of $Y \cap K$ with respect to the considered Hermitian metric.

Positivity implies an important compactness property. As for positive measures, any family of positive (p,p)-currents with locally uniformly bounded mass, is relatively compact in the cone of positive (p,p)-currents. We will need the following result.

Theorem 2.7. *Let E be a closed subset of a complex manifold X of dimension k. Let T be a positive closed (p,p)-current on $X \setminus E$. Assume that the Hausdorff $2(k-p)$-dimensional measure of E vanishes. Then T has finite mass on compact subsets of X and its extension by 0 through E is a positive closed (p,p)-current on X.*

• **Plurisubharmonic functions.** Calculus on currents is often delicate. However, the theory is well developped for positive closed $(1,1)$-currents thanks to plurisubharmonic functions. Note that positive closed $(1,1)$-currents correspond to hypersurfaces (analytic sets of pure codimension 1) in complex geometry and working with (p,p)-currents, as with higher codimension analytic sets, is more difficult.

Definition 2.8. An upper semi-continuous function $u : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$, not identically $-\infty$ on any irreducible component of X, is *plurisubharmonic* (p.s.h. for short) if it is subharmonic or identically $-\infty$ on any holomorphic disc in X. A function v is *pluriharmonic* if v and $-v$ are p.s.h.

Note that p.s.h. functions are defined at every point. The semi-continuity implies that p.s.h. functions are locally bounded from above. Pluriharmonic functions are locally real parts of holomorphic functions, in particular, they are real analytic.

Recall that a *holomorphic disc* in X is a holomorphic map $\tau : \Delta \to X$ where Δ is the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. One often identifies this holomorphic disc with its image $\tau(\Delta)$. If u is p.s.h., then $u \circ \tau$ is subharmonic or identically $-\infty$ on Δ.

As for subharmonic functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$, we have the submean inequality: *in local holomorphic coordinates, the value at a of a p.s.h. function is smaller or equal to the average of the function on a sphere centered at a.* Indeed, this average increases with the radius of the sphere. The submean inequality implies that p.s.h. functions satisfy the maximum principle: *if a p.s.h. function on a connected manifold X has a maximum, it is constant.* It also implies that p.s.h. functions are locally integrable. We have the following general properties.

Theorem 2.9. *P.s.h. functions on X are in $L^p_{loc}(X)$ for every $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a family of p.s.h. functions on X which is bounded in $L^1_{loc}(X)$. Let K*

be a compact subset of X. *Then* $\mathscr{F}$ *is relatively compact in* $L^p_{loc}(X)$ *for every* $1 \leq p < \infty$. *Moreover, there are constants* $\alpha > 0$ *and* $A > 0$ *such that*

$$\|e^{\alpha|u|}\|_{L^1(K)} \leq A \quad \textit{for} \quad u \in \mathscr{F}.$$

P.s.h. functions are in general unbounded. However, the last estimate shows that such functions are moderately unbounded. The following propositions are useful in constructing p.s.h. functions.

Proposition 2.10. *Let* $\chi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a function which is convex in all variables and increasing in each variable. Let* $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ *be p.s.h. functions on* X. *Then* $\chi(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ *extends through the set* $\{u_1 = -\infty\} \cup \cdots \cup \{u_n = -\infty\}$ *to a p.s.h. function on* X. *In particular, the function* $\max(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ *is p.s.h. on* X.

Proposition 2.11. *Let* E *be an analytic subset of codimension at least* 2 *of* X. *If* u *is a p.s.h. function on* $X \setminus E$, *then the extension of* u *to* X *given by*

$$u(z) := \limsup_{\substack{w \to z \\ w \in X \setminus E}} u(w) \quad \textit{for} \quad z \in E,$$

is a p.s.h. function.

The following result relates p.s.h. functions with positive closed currents.

Proposition 2.12. *If* u *is a p.s.h. function on* X *then* $dd^c u$ *is a positive closed* $(1,1)$*-current. Conversely, any positive closed* $(1,1)$*-current can be locally written as* $dd^c u$ *where* u *is a (local) p.s.h. function. In particular, a smooth function* u *is p.s.h. if and only if* $dd^c u$ *is a positive* $(1,1)$*-form.*

Definition 2.13. If S is a positive closed $(1,1)$-current on X, we call *potential* of S any p.s.h. function u such that $dd^c u = S$. A p.s.h. function u is called *strictly p.s.h.* if the current $S = dd^c u$ is strictly positive.

The above proposition shows that S always admits local potentials. Two local potentials differ by a pluriharmonic function. So there is almost a correspondence between positive closed $(1,1)$-currents and p.s.h. functions. Since pluriharmonic functions are smooth, singularities of positive closed $(1,1)$-currents can be understood via their local potentials.

Example 2.14. Let f be a holomorphic function on X not identically 0 on any component of X. Then, $\log|f|$ is a p.s.h. function and we have

$$dd^c \log|f| = \sum n_i [Z_i],$$

where Z_i are irreducible components of the hypersurface $\{f = 0\}$ and n_i their multiplicities. The last equation is called *Poincaré-Lelong equation.* Locally, the ideal of holomorphic functions vanishing on Z_i is generated by a holomorphic function g_i and f is equal to the product of $\prod g_i^{n_i}$ with a non-vanishing holomorphic function.

In some sense, this is the class of the most singular p.s.h. functions. If X is a ball in $\mathbb{C}^k$, the convex set generated by such functions is dense in the cone of p.s.h. functions [42, 41] for the L^1_{loc} topology.

Example 2.15. If u is a p.s.h. function on X and $\tau : X' \to X$ is a holomorphic map, then $u \circ \tau$ is either identically $-\infty$ or a p.s.h. function on each component of X'. Since the function $\log \|z\|$ is p.s.h. on $\mathbb{C}^n$, we deduce that $\log(|f_1|^2 + \cdots + |f_n|^2)$ is p.s.h. on X if $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ are holomorphic functions on X, not all identically 0 on a component of X.

The following result is useful in the calculus with p.s.h. functions and positive closed $(1,1)$-currents.

Proposition 2.16. *If (u_n) is a decreasing sequence of p.s.h. functions on X, it converges pointwise either to $-\infty$ on at least one component of X or to a p.s.h. function on X. Moreover, every p.s.h. function is locally the limit of a decreasing sequence of smooth p.s.h. functions.*

• **Intersection of currents and slicing.** Let T be a positive closed (p,p)-current, $0 \leq p \leq k-1$, and S a positive closed $(1,1)$-current on X. We will define their wedge-product (intersection) $S \wedge T$. Let u be a local potential of S on an open set U and assume that u is locally integrable on U with respect to the *trace measure* $T \wedge \omega^{k-p}$ of T. Then the product uT defines a (p,p)-current on U. We then define $S \wedge T$ on U by

$$S \wedge T := dd^c(uT).$$

It is not difficult to check that if v is a pluriharmonic function then $dd^c(vT) = 0$. So the above definition does not depend on the choice of u and then gives a $(p+1,p+1)$-current on X that we denote by $S \wedge T$. By definition, this current is locally exact, so it is closed. When u is smooth, this wedge-product is equal to the wedge-product of the positive form $dd^c u$ with S and we see that $S \wedge T$ is positive. The property extends to the general case because we can approximate u by a decreasing sequence of smooth p.s.h. functions, see Proposition 2.16. Observe also that $\mathrm{supp}(S \wedge T) \subset \mathrm{supp}(S) \cap \mathrm{supp}(T)$. We have the following result.

Proposition 2.17. *If (u_n) is a sequence of p.s.h. functions decreasing to a p.s.h. function which is locally integrable with respect to the trace measure of T, then $dd^c u_n \wedge T$ converge weakly to $dd^c u \wedge T$. If S is a current with local continuous potentials, then $S \wedge T$ depends continuously on T.*

So we can define by induction the wedge-product of T with several positive closed $(1,1)$-currents. For example, if $S_1, \ldots, S_q$, $q \leq k-p$, are positive closed $(1,1)$-currents with continuous local potentials, then the wedge-product

$$S_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge S_q \wedge T$$

is a positive closed $(p+q,p+q)$-current which depends continuously on T and is symmetric with respect to the currents S_i.

We now consider a special case of the slicing theory that we will use later. Consider a holomorphic submersion $\pi : X \to Y$ where Y is a complex manifold of dimension $l < k$. So for every $y \in Y$ the fiber $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is a submanifold of dimension $k-l$ of X. Let $S_1, \ldots, S_q$, $q \leq k-l$, be positive closed $(1,1)$-currents with continuous local potentials on X. Define $S := S_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge S_q$ and the slices $\langle S|\pi|y\rangle$ by

$$\langle S|\pi|y\rangle := S \wedge [\pi^{-1}(y)] = S_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge S_q \wedge [\pi^{-1}(y)].$$

We deduce from the above discussion that $\langle S|\pi|y\rangle$ depends continuously on y and its support is contained in $\mathrm{supp}(S) \cap \pi^{-1}(y)$.

Recall that a map ϕ, which associates to $y \in Y$ a closed subset F_y of X, is called *upper semi-continuous* (with respect to the Hausdorff topology for closed subsets of X) if for any $y_0 \in Y$, any neighbourhood V of F_{y_0} and for a compact subset K of X, we have $F_y \cap K \subset V$ for y close enough to y_0. We also say that ϕ is *lower semi-continuous* if given $\epsilon > 0$ and $y_0 \in Y$ we have $\mathrm{dist}(x, F_y) < \epsilon$ for every $x \in F_{y_0}$ and for y close enough to y_0. The map ϕ is *continuous* if it is upper and lower semi-continuous. We have the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 2.18. *The map $y \mapsto \mathrm{supp}(S) \cap \pi^{-1}(y)$ is upper semi-continuous and the map $y \mapsto \mathrm{supp}\langle S|\pi|y\rangle$ is lower semi-continuous with respect to the Hausdorff topology for closed subsets of X.*

Proof. It is not difficult to see that the first assertion is true if we replace $\mathrm{supp}(S)$ by any closed subset of X and the second assertion holds for any continuous family of currents. □

The support of $\langle S|\pi|y\rangle$ is contained in $\mathrm{supp}(S) \cap \pi^{-1}(y)$. We will be concerned with the case where these sets are equal.

Definition 2.19. We call *bifurcation locus of S with respect to π* the closure of the following set

$$\Big\{y \in Y, \quad \mathrm{supp}(S) \cap \pi^{-1}(y) \neq \mathrm{supp}\langle S|\pi|y\rangle \Big\}.$$

• **Intersection with a positive dd^c-closed current.** Assume now that T is a positive dd^c-closed current, $0 \leq p \leq k-1$, and S a positive closed $(1,1)$-current on a complex manifold X. Assume that the local potentials of S are continuous on a neighbourhood of the support of T. We want to define the wedge-product $T \wedge S$ as a current. The following result was obtained in [25] when X is a compact Kähler manifold.

Theorem 2.20. *The $(p+1,p+1)$-current $T \wedge S$ is well-defined and is positive dd^c-closed. Moreover, it depends continuously on T and on S in the following* *sense. Let T_n be positive dd^c-closed (p,p)-currents supported by a fixed closed set $F \subset X$ and converging to T. Let S_n be positive closed $(1,1)$-currents such that near F we can write locally $S_n = dd^c u_n$ and $S = dd^c u$ with u_n, u continuous p.s.h. and u_n converging uniformly to u. Then $T_n \wedge S_n \to T \wedge S$.*

We define $T \wedge S$ locally and need to check that the definition does not depend on local coordinates. It follows that the definition extends to all complex manifolds. So we can assume that X is the unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ in $\mathbb{C}^k$ and $S = dd^c u$ where u is a bounded and continuous p.s.h. function on $\mathbb{B}$. We want to define $T \wedge S$ in a neighbourhood of 0. Replacing u by $\max(\log\|z\|, u - c)$ for some constant $c > 0$ large enough, we only modify u outside a neighbourhood of 0. This allows to assume that $u = \log\|z\|$ on $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^k,\ r < \|z\| < 1\}$ for some constant $0 < r < 1$.

Let $\mathscr{F}$ denote the set of continuous p.s.h. functions on $\mathbb{B}$ satisfying the last property for a fixed r. By a standard method of regularization, functions in $\mathscr{F}$ can be uniformly approximated by smooth ones in the same class. In particular, there are u_n smooth in $\mathscr{F}$ which converge uniformly to u. In order to define $T \wedge S$, we only have to show that $T \wedge S_n$, where $S_n := dd^c u_n$, converge to a current which does not depend on the choice of u_n. We then define $T \wedge S$ as equal to the above limit.

The details and the proof of Theorem 2.20 follow the case of compact Kähler manifolds treated in [25]. The fact that the functions in $\mathscr{F}$ are equal to a fixed smooth function near the boundary of $\mathbb{B}$ allows to adapt, without difficulty, the integration by parts used in the compact setting, i.e. to estimate the mass of $d(u - u_n) \wedge d^c(u - u_n) \wedge T$. Note that we can extend the definition of $T \wedge S$ to the case where the potentials of S are continuous outside a finite set of points. In this case, we need however some extra arguments.

3 Currents on compact Kähler manifolds

In this section, we recall some classical results from the Hodge theory on compact Kähler manifolds and further properties of positive closed currents on such manifolds. We refer the readers to [13, 24, 56] for details.

• **Hodge cohomology on compact Kähler manifolds.** Let (X, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold of dimension k. We say that the Hermitian form ω is *a Kähler form* if it is closed, i.e. $d\omega = 0$. From now on, we assume that ω is a fixed Kähler metric on X. At each point $a \in X$, we can find local coordinates z such that $z = 0$ at a and ω is equal near 0 to $dd^c\|z\|^2$ modulo a term of order $\|z\|^2$. So, at the infinitesimal level, a Kähler metric is close to the Euclidean one. This is a crucial property in Hodge theory on compact Kähler manifolds. Note that complex submanifolds of X are also compact Kähler manifolds since ω restricted to these submanifolds defines a Kähler metric.

Recall that *the de Rham cohomology group* $H^l(X,\mathbb{C})$ is the quotient of the space of smooth closed l-forms by the subspace of exact l-forms. This complex vector space is of finite dimension. The real groups $H^l(X,\mathbb{R})$ are defined in the same way using real forms. We have

$$H^l(X,\mathbb{C}) = H^l(X,\mathbb{R})\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}.$$

In the definition of de Rham cohomology, we can also use currents instead of forms. If α is a closed l-form or current, its class in $H^l(X,\mathbb{C})$ is denoted by $\{\alpha\}$. The group $H^0(X,\mathbb{C})$ is just the set of constant functions. So it is canonically identified to $\mathbb{C}$. The group $H^{2k}(X,\mathbb{C})$ is also isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$. The isomorphism is given by the canonical map $\{\alpha\}\mapsto\int_X\alpha$.

For l,m such that $l+m\leq 2k$, *the cup-product*

$$\smile:\ H^l(X,\mathbb{C})\times H^m(X,\mathbb{C})\to H^{l+m}(X,\mathbb{C})$$

is defined by $\{\alpha\}\smile\{\beta\}:=\{\alpha\wedge\beta\}$. The Poincaré duality theorem says that the cup-product is a non-degenerate bilinear form when $l+m=2k$. So it defines an isomorphism between $H^l(X,\mathbb{C})$ and the dual of $H^{2k-l}(X,\mathbb{C})$.

Let $H^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$, $0\leq p,q\leq k$, denote the subspace of $H^{p+q}(X,\mathbb{C})$ generated by the classes of closed (p,q)-forms or currents. We call $H^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$ the *Hodge cohomology group*. Hodge theory shows that

$$H^l(X,\mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=l} H^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad H^{q,p}(X,\mathbb{C}) = \overline{H^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C})}.$$

This, together with the Poincaré duality, induces a canonical isomorphism between $H^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$ and the dual space of $H^{k-p,k-q}(X,\mathbb{C})$. Define for $p=q$

$$H^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{R}) := H^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{C})\cap H^{2p}(X,\mathbb{R}).$$

We have

$$H^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{C}) = H^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{R})\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}.$$

If V is a complex subvariety of codimension p in X, denote for simplicity $\{V\}$ the class in $H^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{R})$ of the current $[V]$ of integration on V.

Recall that *the Dolbeault cohomology group* $H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$ is the quotient of the space of $\overline{\partial}$-closed (p,q)-forms by the subspace of $\overline{\partial}$-exact (p,q)-forms. Observe that a (p,q)-form is d-closed if and only if it is ∂-closed and $\overline{\partial}$-closed. By Hodge theory, we have the following natural isomorphism

$$H^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C})\simeq H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}(X).$$

The result is a consequence of the following theorem, the so-called *dd^c-lemma*, see e.g. [14, 56].

Theorem 3.1. *Let φ be a smooth d-closed (p,q)-form or current on X. Then φ is dd^c-exact if and only if it is d-exact (or ∂-exact or $\overline{\partial}$-exact).*

So $H^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$ is equal to the quotient of the space of d-closed (p,q)-forms by the subspace of dd^c-exact (p,q)-forms. If T is a dd^c-closed (p,p)-current, it induces a linear form on $H^{k-p,k-p}(X,\mathbb{C})$ and by Poincaré duality, it defines a class in $H^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{C})$.

The following result was obtained by Nguyen and the first author in [21]. It generalizes previous results by Khovanskii, Teissier and Gromov [39, 45, 58, 59].

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_{k-1}$ be Kähler forms on X. Then the quadratic form Q on $H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})$ given by*

$$Q(c,c') := -c \smile c' \smile \{\omega_1\} \smile \cdots \smile \{\omega_{k-2}\}$$

is positive definite on the hyperplane

$$P := \big\{c \in H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R}), \quad c \smile \{\omega_1\} \smile \cdots \smile \{\omega_{k-1}\} = 0\big\}.$$

When the forms ω_i are equal, we obtain the classical Hodge-Riemann theorem. Applying the above theorem to a class in the intersection of P with the plane generated by c, c', we get the following useful corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let c and c' be two linearly independent classes in $H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})$ such that $c^2 = c'^2 = 0$. Then $c \wedge c' \neq 0$.*

• **Projective manifolds.** An important large class of Kähler manifolds is the family of projective manifolds. They are isomorphic to complex submanifolds of projective spaces. We recall now this notion and fix some notation.

The complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^k$ is a compact complex manifold of dimension k. It is obtained as the quotient of $\mathbb{C}^{k+1}\setminus\{0\}$ by the natural multiplicative action of $\mathbb{C}^*$. In other words, $\mathbb{P}^k$ is the parameter space of the complex lines through 0 in $\mathbb{C}^{k+1}$. The image of a subspace of dimension $p+1$ of $\mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ is a complex submanifold of dimension p in $\mathbb{P}^k$, biholomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^p$, and is called *a projective subspace of dimension* p. *Hyperplanes* of $\mathbb{P}^k$ are projective subspaces of dimension $k-1$. The group $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C},k+1)$ of invertible linear endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ induces the group $\mathrm{PGL}(\mathbb{C},k+1)$ of automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$. It acts transitively on $\mathbb{P}^k$ and sends projective subspaces to projective subspaces.

Let $w = (w_0,\ldots,w_k)$ denote the standard coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^{k+1}$. Consider the equivalence relation: $w \sim w'$ *if there is* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ *such that* $w = \lambda w'$. The projective space $\mathbb{P}^k$ is the quotient of $\mathbb{C}^{k+1}\setminus\{0\}$ by this relation. We can cover $\mathbb{P}^k$ by open sets U_i associated to the open sets $\{w_i \neq 0\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{k+1}\setminus\{0\}$. Each U_i is bi-holomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^k$ and $(w_0/w_i,\ldots,w_{i-1}/w_i,w_{i+1}/w_i,\ldots,w_k/w_i)$ is a coordinate system on this chart. The complement of U_i is the hyperplane defined by $\{w_i = 0\}$. So $\mathbb{P}^k$ can be considered as a natural compactification of $\mathbb{C}^k$. We denote by $[w_0 : \cdots : w_k]$

the point of $\mathbb{P}^k$ associated to $(w_0,\ldots,w_k)$. This expression is *the homogeneous coordinates* on $\mathbb{P}^k$.

The projective space $\mathbb{P}^k$ admits a Kähler form $\omega_{\rm FS}$, called *the Fubini-Study form.* It is defined on the chart U_i by

$$\omega_{\rm FS} := dd^c \log\Big(\sum_{j=0}^k \Big|\frac{w_j}{w_i}\Big|^2\Big)^{1/2}.$$

In other words, if $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{k+1}\setminus\{0\}\to\mathbb{P}^k$ is the canonical projection, then $\omega_{\rm FS}$ is defined by

$$\pi^*(\omega_{\rm FS}) := dd^c \log\Big(\sum_{i=0}^k |w_i|^2\Big)^{1/2}.$$

One can check that $\omega_{\rm FS}^k$ is a probability measure on $\mathbb{P}^k$. The cohomology groups of $\mathbb{P}^k$ are very simple. We have $H^{p,q}(\mathbb{P}^k,\mathbb{C}) = 0$ for $p\neq q$ and $H^{p,p}(\mathbb{P}^k,\mathbb{C})\simeq\mathbb{C}$. The groups $H^{p,p}(\mathbb{P}^k,\mathbb{R})$ and $H^{p,p}(\mathbb{P}^k,\mathbb{C})$ are generated by the class of $\omega_{\rm FS}^p$.

Complex submanifolds of $\mathbb{P}^k$ are Kähler, as submanifolds of a Kähler manifold. One has just to restrict the original Kähler form. Chow's theorem says that such a manifold is *algebraic*, i.e. it is the set of common zeros of a finite family of homogeneous polynomials in w. If V is a subvariety of dimension $k-p$ of $\mathbb{P}^k$, we call *degree* of V the number $\deg(V)$ of points in the intersection of V with a generic projective subspace of dimension p. The class of the current of integration on V is equal to $\deg(V)$ times the class of $\omega_{\rm FS}^p$.

• **Quasi-p.s.h. and d.s.h. functions.** By maximum principle, p.s.h. functions on a compact connected manifold are constant. However, a main interest of p.s.h. functions is their type of singularities. S.T. Yau introduced in [60] the useful notion of quasi-p.s.h. functions.

Definition 3.4. A *quasi-p.s.h. function* is locally the difference of a p.s.h. function and a smooth one. A subset of X is called *pluripolar* if it is contained in the pole set $\{u=-\infty\}$ of a quasi-p.s.h. function u.

Several properties of quasi-p.s.h. functions can be deduced from properties of p.s.h. functions. We have the following result.

Proposition 3.5. *If u is quasi-p.s.h. on X, it belongs to $L^p(X)$ for every $1\leq p<\infty$ and $dd^cu\geq -c\omega$ for some constant $c\geq 0$. If (u_n) is a decreasing sequence of quasi-p.s.h. functions on X satisfying $dd^cu_n\geq -c\omega$ with c independent of n, then its limit is also a quasi-p.s.h. function. If S is a positive closed $(1,1)$-current and α a smooth real $(1,1)$-form in the cohomology class of S, then there is a quasi-p.s.h. function u, unique up to an additive constant, such that $dd^cu = S-\alpha$.*

The following regularization result is due to Demailly [13].

Theorem 3.6. *Let u be a quasi-p.s.h. function on X. Then there is a decreasing sequence of smooth functions u_n with $dd^c u_n \geq -c\omega$ for some constant $c > 0$ which converges pointwise to u. In particular, if S is a positive closed $(1,1)$-current on X and $c > 0$ is a constant large enough depending on S, then the current $S + c\omega$ is limit of smooth positive closed $(1,1)$-forms.*

Example 3.7. Let S be a positive closed $(1,1)$ of mass 1 on $\mathbb{P}^k$. Then there is a quasi-p.s.h. function u on $\mathbb{P}^k$ such that $dd^c u = S - \omega_{\text{FS}}$. In particular, S is cohomologous to ω_{FS} and cannot be supported by a compact subset of the affine chart $\mathbb{C}^k \subset \mathbb{P}^k$ given by $\{w_0 \neq 0\}$. The restriction of ω_{FS} to $\mathbb{C}^k$ is equal to $dd^c \log(1 + \|z\|^2)^{1/2}$. Therefore, the function $u' := u + \log(1 + \|z\|^2)^{1/2}$ is p.s.h. and is a potential of S on $\mathbb{C}^k$, i.e. we have $S = dd^c u'$ on $\mathbb{C}^k$. Observe that the function $u' - \log^+ \|z\|$ is bounded above. So we say that u' is a *logarithmic potential* of S on $\mathbb{C}^k$.

Recall also the notion of d.s.h. functions (differences of quasi-p.s.h. functions) which were introduced by the authors as observables in dynamics, see e.g. [29]. They satisfy strong compactness properties and are invariant under the action of holomorphic maps.

Definition 3.8. A function on X is called *d.s.h.* if it is equal outside a pluripolar set to the difference of two quasi-p.s.h. functions. We identify two d.s.h. functions if they are equal outside a pluripolar set.

Let $\text{DSH}(X)$ denote the space of d.s.h. functions on X. We deduce easily from properties of p.s.h. functions the following result.

Proposition 3.9. *The space $\text{DSH}(X)$ is contained in $L^p(X)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$. If u is d.s.h. then we can write $dd^c u = S^+ - S^-$ where $S^\pm$ are positive closed $(1,1)$-currents in a same cohomology class. Conversely, if $S^\pm$ are positive closed $(1,1)$-currents in a same cohomology class, then there is a d.s.h. function u, unique up to a constant, such that $dd^c u = S^+ - S^-$.*

Example 3.10. With the notation as in Example 3.7, the function u' is d.s.h. on $\mathbb{P}^k$. We have $dd^c u' = S - [H]$ where $H := \{w_0 = 0\}$ denotes the hyperplane at infinity.

We introduce a norm on $\text{DSH}(\mathbb{P}^k)$. Let S be a $(1,1)$-current which is the difference of two positive closed $(1,1)$-currents. Define

$$\|S\|_* := \inf \|S^+\| + \|S^-\|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all positive closed $(1,1)$-currents $S^\pm$ such that $S = S^+ - S^-$.

Define for $u \in \text{DSH}(X)$

$$\|u\|_{\text{DSH}} := |\langle \omega^k, u \rangle| + \|dd^c u\|_*.$$

The first term in the last definition can be replaced with $\|u\|_{L^p}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$; we then obtain equivalent norms. The space of d.s.h. functions endowed with the above norm is a Banach space. Note that we can also replace ω^k with any positive measure for which quasi-p.s.h. functions are integrable. For example, we will need the following result.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a family of d.s.h. functions on X such that $\|dd^c u\|_*$ is bounded by a fixed constant for every $u \in \mathscr{F}$. Let U be a non-empty open subset of X. Then $\mathscr{F}$ is bounded in* $\mathrm{DSH}(X)$ *if and only if $\mathscr{F}$ is bounded in $L^1(U)$.*

The following result is also deduced from properties of p.s.h. functions

Theorem 3.12. *The canonical embedding of* $\mathrm{DSH}(X)$ *in $L^p(X)$ is compact. Moreover, if $\mathscr{F}$ is a bounded subset of* $\mathrm{DSH}(X)$*, then there are positive constants α and c such that*

$$\int_X e^{\alpha|u|}\omega^k \leq c.$$

We deduce the following useful corollary.

Corollary 3.13. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a bounded subset in* $\mathrm{DSH}(X)$*. There is a positive constant $c > 0$ such that if ν is a probability measure associated to a bounded form of maximal degree on X, then*

$$\langle \nu, |u| \rangle \leq c(1 + \log^+ \|\nu\|_\infty), \ \textit{where} \ \log^+ := \max(\log, 0)$$

for all $u \in \mathscr{F}$.

Proof. Let α be as in Theorem 3.12. Using the concavity of the logarithm, we have

$$\alpha\langle \nu, |u| \rangle = \left\langle \nu, \log e^{\alpha|u|} \right\rangle \leq \log \left\langle \nu, e^{\alpha|u|} \right\rangle \leq \log \|\nu\|_\infty + \log \int_X e^{\alpha|u|}\omega^k.$$

Theorem 3.12 implies the result. □

• **Positive dd^c-closed currents.** Consider now some properties of positive dd^c-closed (p,p)-currents. We have seen that they have cohomology classes in $H^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{R})$. The following result was obtained in [36].

Theorem 3.14. *Let S be a positive dd^c-closed $(1,1)$-current in (X,ω). Let α be a smooth real closed $(1,1)$-form in the class $\{S\}$. Then there is a $(0,1)$-current σ such that*

$$S = \alpha + \partial\sigma + \overline{\partial\sigma}.$$

Moreover, the currents $\partial\overline{\sigma}, \overline{\partial}\sigma$ do not depend on the choice of σ and are given by forms of class L^2. They vanish if and only if S is closed.

We also need the following result.

Theorem 3.15. *Let S, α and σ be as above. Assume that S is smooth on an open set U. Then $\partial\overline{\sigma}$ and $\overline{\partial}\sigma$ are also smooth on U. Let R be a positive closed $(k-2, k-2)$-current smooth outside a compact subset K of U. Then there is a constant $c > 0$ depending only on (X, ω) such that*

$$\Big| \int_X \overline{\partial}\sigma \wedge \partial\overline{\sigma} \wedge R \Big| \leq c\|S\|^2\|R\|.$$

Proof. Observe that $\overline{\partial}\sigma \wedge \partial\overline{\sigma}$ is positive. The current $\partial\overline{\sigma}$ is the unique solution of the equation $\overline{\partial}(\partial\overline{\sigma}) = \partial S$ which is ∂-exact. To see this point, we can suppose $S = 0$ and hence $\partial\overline{\sigma}$ is a holomorphic $(2, 0)$-form whose cohomology class vanishes. It well-known in Hodge theory that such a form on a compact Kähler manifold vanishes identically.

Locally, the above equation admits a unique solution up to a holomorphic $(2, 0)$-form which is smooth. So locally using classical explicit integral formula, we conclude that $\partial\overline{\sigma}$ is smooth on U. Its conjugate $\overline{\partial}\sigma$ satisfies the same property.

The last estimate was obtained in [36]. One has to use the regularization method in [25] to find smooth positive $(k-2, k-2)$-forms R_n on X converging to a current $R' \geq R$ such that $\|R_n\| \leq c\|R\|$ for some constant $c \geq 0$ depending only on (X, ω). Moreover, R' is smooth outside K and R_n converge to R' locally uniformly on $X \setminus K$.

Observe that $\partial\overline{\sigma} \wedge \overline{\partial}\sigma$ is a weakly positive $(2, 2)$-form. So using the smooth case applied to R_n, we obtain for a suitable constant c

$$\Big| \int_X \overline{\partial}\sigma \wedge \partial\overline{\sigma} \wedge R \Big| \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \Big| \int_X \overline{\partial}\sigma \wedge \partial\overline{\sigma} \wedge R_n \Big| \leq c\|S\|^2\|R\|.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

We also have the following version of Theorem 2.7.

Theorem 3.16. *Let E be an analytic subset of dimension smaller or equal to $k - p - 1$ of a compact Kähler manifold X of dimension k. Let T be a positive dd^c-closed (p, p)-current on $X \setminus E$. Then T has finite mass and its extension by 0 to X is a positive dd^c-closed current.*

When X is an open manifold, the mass of T is still locally bounded in X but its extension by 0, still denoted by T, satisfies $dd^cT \leq 0$. On a compact Kähler manifold, Stokes' theorem implies that $\int_X dd^cT \wedge \omega^{k-p-1} = 0$; it follows that $dd^cT = 0$.

• **Intersection number.** Let T be a positive $(k-1, k-1)$-current on X and S a positive closed $(1, 1)$-current on X. Assume that dd^cT is a current of order 0 and that the local potentials of S are continuous on a neighbourhood of $\mathrm{supp}(T)$. Write $S = \alpha + dd^cu$ where α is a smooth $(1, 1)$-form and u a quasi-p.s.h. function continuous on a neighbourhood of $\mathrm{supp}(T)$. We define the intersection number $\langle T, S\rangle$ by

$$\langle T, S\rangle := \langle T, \alpha\rangle + \langle dd^c T, u\rangle.$$

It is not difficult to see that the definition does not depend on the choice of α and of u. Moreover, this number depends continuously on T and on S in the following sense. Let T_n be a sequence of positive $(k-1, k-1)$-currents with support in a fixed compact set K in X such that $T_n \to T$ and $\|dd^c T_n\|$ are bounded uniformly on n. Let $S_n = \alpha_n + dd^c u_n$ be positive closed $(1,1)$-currents where α_n are smooth $(1,1)$-forms converging uniformly to α and u_n quasi-p.s.h. functions continuous on a fixed neighbourhood U of K which converge to u uniformly on U. Then we have $\langle T_n, S_n\rangle \to \langle T, S\rangle$.

4 Rigidity and Ahlfors-Nevanlinna currents

In this section, we introduce a notion of rigid sets and rigid cohomology classes. We also discuss some relations with the classical Ahlfors-Nevanlinna theory. We will see later that Julia sets of Hénon type maps are rigid and the cohomology classes of Green currents of automorphisms on compact Kähler surfaces satisfy a similar property. For the Ahlfors-Nevanlinna theory, we refer the readers to [2, 51].

Definition 4.1. Let K be a closed subset of a complex manifold X. We say that K is *p-rigid* (resp. *very p-rigid*) in X if K supports at most a non-zero positive closed (resp. dd^c-closed) current of bidimension (p, p) up to a multiplicative constant. The support J of this current is called *the essential part* of K; by convention, J is empty when such a current does not exist. For simplicity, when $p = 1$, we say that K is *rigid* (resp. *very rigid*) in X.

Observe that if S is a non-zero positive closed (resp. dd^c-closed) current supported in K then S is *extremal*. That is, if $S = S_1 + S_2$ with S_1, S_2 positive closed (resp. dd^c-closed) then S_1, S_2 are proportional to S. We also deduce that $J = \mathrm{supp}(S)$ is connected. Assume now that (X, ω) is a Hermitian manifold of dimension k. The following property is a direct consequence of the above definition.

Proposition 4.2. *Let K be a p-rigid (resp. very p-rigid) compact subset of X. Let (τ_n) be a sequence of positive current of bidimension (p, p) whose supports converge to K. Assume that the masses $\|\tau_n\|$ converge to 1 and $d\tau_n \to 0$ (resp. $dd^c\tau_n \to 0$). Then (τ_n) converges to τ, the unique positive closed (dd^c-closed) current of mass 1 supported on K.*

Proof. Since K is compact and the masses $\|\tau_n\|$ are uniformly bounded, the sequence (τ_n) is relatively compact. If τ is a cluster value, then it is a positive current of mass 1 supported on K and by hypothesis, it is closed (resp. dd^c-closed). Since K is p-rigid (resp. very p-rigid), τ is the unique positive closed (dd^c-closed) current of mass 1 supported on K. $\square$

In what follows, we only consider the case where $p = 1$. Some results can be extended to higher dimensional currents but in order to simplify the exposition we will discuss the topic only briefly in the last section.

Definition 4.3. Let $\phi_n : \overline{D}_n \to X$ be a sequence of smooth maps on closed discs $\overline{D}_n$ in $\mathbb{C}$ which are holomorphic on D_n. Denote respectively by a_n and l_n the area of $\phi_n(D_n)$ and the length of $\phi_n(bD_n)$ counted with multiplicity. If $l_n = o(a_n)$ as $n \to \infty$, we say that $\phi_n : \overline{D}_n \to X$ is *an Ahlfors sequence of holomorphic discs.*

To such an Ahlfors sequence, we can associate a sequence of currents of integration

$$\tau_n := \frac{1}{a_n}(\phi_n)_*[D_n].$$

More precisely, if φ is a smooth $(1,1)$-form with compact support on X we have

$$\langle \tau_n, \varphi \rangle := \frac{1}{a_n}\int_{D_n} \phi_n^*(\varphi).$$

Since $\|\tau_n\| = 1$, see also Theorem 2.1, this sequence is relatively compact.

Definition 4.4. We call *Ahlfors current* any cluster value of the sequence τ_n.

This class of currents and the class of Nevanlinna's currents that will be defined later, were considered in several works, see e.g. [9, 36, 49]. The condition $l_n = o(a_n)$ implies that $\|d\tau_n\| \to 0$. It follows that Ahlfors currents are positive closed and supported on the cluster set of the sequence $\phi_n(D_n)$. We deduce from Proposition 4.2 that if all ϕ_n have images in a rigid compact set K then τ_n converge to the unique positive closed current τ of mass 1 on K. Hence, the essential part $J = \mathrm{supp}(\tau)$ of K is contained in the cluster set of the sequence $\phi_n(\overline{D}_n)$.

We have the following classical result in Ahlfors-Nevanlinna theory.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to X$ be a non-constant holomorphic map into a Hermitian manifold (X, ω) with image in a compact set K. Let ϕ_r denote the restriction of ϕ to the disc $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_r$ of center 0 and of radius r. Then there is a finite length subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ such that if $(r_n) \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus E$ and $r_n \to \infty$, the sequence ϕ_{r_n} is an Ahlfors sequence. In particular, if K is rigid, its essential part J is contained in $\overline{\phi(\mathbb{C})}$. If ϕ has image in J then $\phi(\mathbb{C})$ is dense in J.*

Proof. The first assertion is an interpretation of [1, 36]. We deduce that K supports some Ahlfors currents of mass 1 with support in $\overline{\phi(\mathbb{C})}$. So if K is rigid, these Ahlfors currents are equal to the unique positive closed current of mass 1 on K. We deduce that J is contained in $\overline{\phi(\mathbb{C})}$ and is equal to this set if ϕ has images in J. $\square$

Recall also the following useful re-parametrization lemma [46].

Lemma 4.6 (Brody-Zalcman)**.** *Let $h_n : \mathbb{D} \to X$ be a sequence of holomorphic maps from the unit disc to a complex manifold X with images in a compact set K. Assume that the sequence (h_n) is not locally equicontinuous. Then there are an increasing sequence (n_i) of positive integers, a sequence (r_i) of positive numbers with $r_i \to \infty$ and a sequence of affine maps $A_i : \mathbb{D}_{r_i} \to \mathbb{D}$ such that $h_{n_i} \circ A_i$ converge locally uniformly on $\mathbb{C}$ to a non-constant holomorphic map $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to X$ with image in K.*

We deduce from the above results the following corollary.

Corollary 4.7. *With the notation as in the last lemma, if K is rigid, then its essential part J is contained in the cluster set of the sequence $h_n(\mathbb{D})$.*

We recall the notion of Kobayashi hyperbolicity on a complex manifold X [46]. Let x be a point in X and ξ a complex tangent vector of X at a. Consider the holomorphic maps $\phi : \mathbb{D} \to X$ on the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi(0) = x$ and $D\phi(0) = \lambda\xi$, where $D\phi$ denotes the differential of ϕ and λ is a constant. *The Kobayashi-Royden infinitesimal pseudo-metric* is defined by

$$\mathrm{Kob}_X(x, \xi) := \inf_{\phi} |\lambda|^{-1}.$$

It measures the size of discs that can be holomorphically sent in X: the bigger is the disc, the smaller is the infinitesimal Kobayashi metric. If X contains non-constant holomorphic images of $\mathbb{C}$ passing through x in the direction ξ, then $\mathrm{Kob}_X(x, \xi) = 0$.

Kobayashi-Royden pseudo-metric is contracting for holomorphic maps: if $\psi : Y \to X$ is a holomorphic map between complex manifolds, then

$$\mathrm{Kob}_X(\psi(y), D\psi(y)\zeta) \leq \mathrm{Kob}_Y(y, \zeta) \quad \text{for } \zeta \text{ tangent to } Y \text{ at } y.$$

The Kobayashi pseudo-distance between two points is obtained by integrating the infinitesimal metric along curves between these points and taking the infimum. One obtain a metric on X if we have locally $\mathrm{Kob}_X(x, \xi) \geq c\|\xi\|$ for some constant $c > 0$.

The Kobayashi-Royden infinitesimal pseudo-metric on $\mathbb{D}$ coincides with the Poincaré metric. A complex manifold X is *Kobayashi hyperbolic* if Kob_X is a metric. In which case, holomorphic maps from any complex manifold Y to X are locally equicontinuous with respect to the Kobayashi metric on X.

If Z is a complex submanifold in X or an open subset of X, we say that Z is *hyperbolically embedded* in X if there is a continuous function $c > 0$ on X such that $\mathrm{Kob}_Z(z, \zeta) \geq c(z)\|\zeta\|$ for every complex tangent vector ζ of Z at z. In particular, Z is Kobayashi hyperbolic. Note that if X is compact we can take c constant.

Proposition 4.8. *Let K be a compact subset of X and U a connected component of the interior of K. Assume that U is not hyperbolically embedded in X. Then $\overline{U}$ contains a non-constant holomorphic image of $\mathbb{C}$. In particular, $\overline{U}$ supports an Ahlfors current. If K is rigid, its essential part J is contained in $\overline{U}$.*

Proof. Since U is not hyperbolically embedded in X, there is a sequence of holomorphic maps $\phi_n : \mathbb{D} \to U$ such that $\|D\phi_n(0)\| \geq n$. Hence, the sequence (ϕ_n) is not locally equicontinuous on $\mathbb{D}$. By Lemma 4.6, there is a non-constant holomorphic map $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to \overline{U}$. The result then follows from Theorem 4.5. $\square$

Consider now a more general situation in Nevanlinna theory. Let Σ be a Riemann surface. Assume that there is a function $\sigma : \Sigma \to [0, c_0[$ with $c_0 \in [1, \infty]$ such that $\log \sigma$ is subharmonic and is harmonic out of a compact subset of Σ. Assume also that σ is exhaustive, i.e. the set $\{\sigma \leq c\}$ is relatively compact in Σ for every $c < c_0$. The main example in this work is the function $\sigma(\xi) = |\xi|$ for Σ the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ or the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Note however that if Σ is parabolic, i.e. an open Riemann surface without non-constant bounded subharmonic functions, then Σ admits a function σ as above with $c_0 = \infty$, see [2]. For example, the complement of a closed polar subset of $\mathbb{C}$ is parabolic.

Let $\phi : \Sigma \to X$ be a non-constant holomorphic map with image in a compact set K of a Hermitian manifold (X, ω). The main question in Nevanlinna theory is to study the value distribution of ϕ. Define

$$\Sigma_t := \big\{\xi \in \Sigma,\ \sigma(\xi) < t\big\} \quad \text{and} \quad A(t) := \int_{\Sigma_t} \phi^*(\omega).$$

So $A(t)$ is the area of $\phi(\Sigma_t)$ counted with multiplicity. The growth of ϕ is measured by the following Ahlfors-Nevanlinna function

$$T(r) := \int_0^r \frac{A(t)}{t} dt = \int_\Sigma \log^+ \frac{r}{\sigma} \phi^*(\omega) \quad \text{with} \quad \log^+ := \max(\log, 0).$$

So $T(r)$ is a weighted area of Σ_r.

In what follows, we always make the hypothesis that $T(r) \to \infty$ when $r \to c_0$. It is not difficult to see that this property always holds when $c_0 = \infty$, e.g. when $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma(\xi) = |\xi|$ or when Σ is parabolic [9]. When c_0 is finite, the property is stronger than the fact that $A(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to c_0$. So it is not always true for $\Sigma = \mathbb{D}$ and $\sigma(\xi) = |\xi|$. However, it can be checked in some natural setting, e.g. when ϕ is the universal covering map of a generic leaf of a foliation by Riemann surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^2$, see [36].

Definition 4.9. We call *Nevanlinna currents* any cluster value, for $r \to c_0$, of the family of currents

$$\tau_r := \frac{1}{T(r)}\phi_*\Big(\log^+\frac{r}{\sigma}\Big).$$

More precisely, if ψ is a smooth $(1,1)$-form on X, we have

$$\langle \tau_r, \psi\rangle = \frac{1}{T(r)}\int_\Sigma \Big(\log^+\frac{r}{\sigma}\Big)\phi^*(\psi) = \frac{1}{T(r)}\int_0^r \frac{dt}{t}\int_{\Sigma_t}\phi^*(\psi).$$

Nevanlinna currents describe the asymptotic behavior of the map ϕ. The following result can be easily extended to a sequence of maps from Riemann surfaces to X under appropriate conditions on the Nevanlinna characteristic functions. For simplicity, we only consider the case of one map $\phi : \Sigma \to X$.

Proposition 4.10. *With the above notation, assume also that* $T(r) \to \infty$ *when* $r \to c_0$. *Then all Nevanlinna currents associated to* ϕ *are positive* dd^c*-closed currents of mass* 1 *with support in* $\overline{\phi(\Sigma)}$*.*

Proof. By definition, the currents τ_r are positive, of mass 1 and supported on $\overline{\phi(\Sigma)}$ which is contained in the compact set K. The last assertion in the proposition is clear. So we only have to check that Nevanlinna currents are dd^c-closed. We have

$$dd^c\tau_r = \frac{1}{T(r)}\phi_*\Big(dd^c\log^+\frac{r}{\sigma}\Big).$$

Since $\log\sigma$ is harmonic out of a compact set, for r close enough to c_0, the expression in the last parenthesis is equal to the difference of two positive measures with compact support $\nu_r - \nu$, where ν_r is supported on the real curve $\{\sigma = r\}$ and $\nu := dd^c\log\sigma$. Since $\log^+\frac{r}{\sigma}$ vanishes out of Σ_r, by Stokes' formula we have

$$\|\nu_r\| - \|\nu\| = \langle \nu_r - \nu, 1\rangle = \Big\langle dd^c\log^+\frac{r}{\sigma}, 1\Big\rangle = \Big\langle \log^+\frac{r}{\sigma}, dd^c 1\Big\rangle = 0.$$

So the mass of ν_r is independent of r. It follows that $\|dd^c\tau_r\| \to 0$ since $T(r) \to \infty$. We deduce that Nevanlinna currents are dd^c-closed. This completes the proof of the proposition.

Note that when c_0 is finite or when $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}$ and $\phi(\mathbb{C})$ not contained in a compact curve one can show that all Nevanlinna currents are supported in $\cap_{r<c_0}\overline{\phi(\Sigma\setminus\Sigma_r)}$. □

Note that in comparison with Theorem 4.5 there is here no exceptional set E: any cluster value of (τ_r) is dd^c-closed. As above, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.11. *With the above notation, assume that* $T(r) \to \infty$ *and that the compact set* K *is very rigid. Then all Nevanlinna currents on* K *are equal to the unique positive* dd^c*-closed current of mass* 1 *on* K *and the essential part* J *of* K *is contained in* $\overline{\phi(\Sigma)}$*. If* ϕ *has images in* J *then* $\phi(\Sigma)$ *is dense in* J*.*

We now introduce a notion of rigidity for cohomology classes which also appears naturally in dynamics on compact Kähler manifolds.

Definition 4.12. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold. Let c be a class in $H^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{R})$. We say that c is *rigid* (resp. *very rigid*) if it contains a non-zero positive closed current T which is the unique positive closed (resp. dd^c-closed) current in the class c.

So if (T_n) is a sequence of positive closed (resp. dd^c-closed) currents such that the sequence of classes $\{T_n\}$ converges to a rigid (resp. very rigid) class c as above, then T_n converge to T as currents.

5 Basic properties of Hénon type maps on $\mathbb{C}^2$

In this section, we give some elementary properties of the Fatou-Julia theory in the setting of Hénon type maps in $\mathbb{C}^2$. We first describe the group of polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$. The main references to this section are [7, 38, 34, 54].

Let p be a polynomial in one complex variable of degree $d \geq 2$. A *Hénon map* in $\mathbb{C}^2$ has the following form

$$h(z_1, z_2) := \big(p(z_1) + az_2, z_1\big),$$

where a is a constant in $\mathbb{C}^*$. It is clear that h is an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^2$, i.e. a bijective holomorphic map on $\mathbb{C}^2$; the inverse map is given by

$$h^{-1}(z_1, z_2) = \Big(z_2, \frac{z_1 - p(z_2)}{a}\Big).$$

We will see later that these automorphisms have rich dynamics.

There are also the so-called *elementary automorphisms*. They have the following form

$$e(z_1, z_2) := \big(az_1 + p(z_2), bz_2 + c\big)$$

where a, b, c are constants in $\mathbb{C}$ with $a, b \neq 0$, and p a polynomial of degree $d \geq 0$. The automorphism e preserves the fibration $\{z_2 = \text{const}\}$. Their dynamics is simple to analyze. The family of elementary automorphisms, that we denote by E, has a group structure. We have the following important result [43].

Theorem 5.1 (Jung)**.** *The group* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ *of polynomial automorphisms of* $\mathbb{C}^2$ *is generated by the elementary ones and the group A of complex affine automorphisms. More precisely,* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ *is the amalgamated product of A and E along their intersection $A \cap E$.*

Friedland and Milnor deduced from Jung's theorem the following property [38].

Corollary 5.2 (Friedland-Milnor)**.** *Let f be a polynomial automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Then either f is conjugate in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ to an elementary automorphism or to a Hénon type map, i.e. a finite composition of Hénon maps.*

So for the dynamical study of a polynomial automorphism, we can restrict our attention to polynomial automorphisms of the second type, i.e. finite compositions of $(p_j(z_1) + a_j z_2, z_1)$ with p_j polynomials of degree $d_j \geq 2$ and $a_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$. It is quite fruitful to consider the extension of such an automorphism as a birational map in the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$. In this paper we favor this point of view. Let us be more precise. In $\mathbb{P}^2$ we consider the homogeneous coordinates $[w_0 : w_1 : w_2]$. The line at infinity is defined by the equation $w_0 = 0$.

Given any polynomial map $g : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ of the form $g = (P_1, P_2)$ with $\max(\deg P_1, \deg P_2) = d \geq 1$, we can consider the extension $\overline{g}$ to $\mathbb{P}^2$:

$$\overline{g}[w] := \Big[w_0^d : w_0^d P_1\big(\frac{w_1}{w_0}, \frac{w_2}{w_0}\big) : w_0^d P_2\big(\frac{w_1}{w_0}, \frac{w_2}{w_0}\big)\Big].$$

If we restrict to $\mathbb{C}^2$, i.e. to the affine chart $\{w_0 \neq 0\}$ with affine coordinates $(z_1, z_2) := (w_1/w_0, w_2/w_0)$, we find the original map. Note that $\overline{g}$ is not defined on a finite set at infinity where all three coordinate functions of $\overline{g}$ vanish. We call this set *the indeterminacy set* of $\overline{g}$. It is non-empty in general.

For example, if h is a Hénon map as above, then

$$\overline{h}[w_0 : w_1 : w_2] = \Big[w_0^d : w_0^d p\big(\frac{w_1}{w_0}\big) + a w_2 w_0^{d-1} : w_1 w_0^{d-1}\Big].$$

We observe that the three coordinate functions vanish at only a point $I_+ = [0 : 0 : 1]$. So I_+ is the unique indeterminacy point of $\overline{h}$. Similarly the indeterminacy point for $\overline{h}^{-1}$ is $I_- = [0 : 1 : 0]$. Observe that $\{w_0 = 0\} \setminus I_+$ is mapped by $\overline{h}$ to I_- and $\{w_0 = 0\} \setminus I_-$ is contracted by $\overline{h}^{-1}$ to I_+.

It is useful to note that I_- is fixed for $\overline{h}$ and that it is super-attracting i.e. both eigenvalues of the differential of $\overline{h}$ vanish at this point. Indeed if we express $\overline{h}$ in the chart $\{w_1 \neq 0\}$ with affine coordinates $z_0' := w_0/w_1$, $z_2' := w_2/w_1$, we get

$$\overline{h}(z_0', z_2') = \Big(\frac{{z_0'}^{d}}{{z_0'}^{d} p(\frac{1}{z_0'}) + a z_2' {z_0'}^{d-1}}, \frac{{z_0'}^{d-1}}{{z_0'}^{d} p(\frac{1}{z_0'}) + a z_2' {z_0'}^{d-1}}\Big)$$

and it is then easy to compute the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix at $I_- = (0, 0)$. The point I_+ is also fixed and super-attracting for $\overline{h}^{-1}$. We deduce from this discussion the following properties.

Proposition 5.3. *Let f be a Hénon type map on $\mathbb{C}^2$. For simplicity, denote also by f its extension as a birational map on $\mathbb{P}^2$. Then the indeterminacy set of f (resp. f^{-1}) is reduced to the point $I_+ = [0 : 0 : 1]$ (resp. $I_- = [0 : 1 : 0]$). Moreover, f (resp. f^{-1}) contracts $\{w_0 = 0\} \setminus I_+$ (resp. $\{w_0 = 0\} \setminus I_-$) to the fixed super-attracting point I_- (resp. I_+).*

Because of Corollary 5.2, we will be interested only in the dynamics of Hénon type maps f as above. Denote by Γ the closure of the graph of $f : \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_+$ in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. Since f is a birational map, Γ is an irreducible algebraic set of dimension 2. The image of Γ by the involution $(z, z') \mapsto (z', z)$ on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ is the closure of the graph of f^{-1}. Let π_1, π_2 denote the canonical projections from $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ onto its factors. The following lemma gives a description of Γ.

Lemma 5.4. *We have*

$$\pi_1^{-1}(z) \cap \Gamma = (z, f(z)) \quad \textit{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_+, \qquad \pi_1^{-1}(I_+) \cap \Gamma = I_+ \times \{w_0 = 0\}$$

and

$$\pi_2^{-1}(z) \cap \Gamma = (f^{-1}(z), z) \quad \textit{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_-, \qquad \pi_2^{-1}(I_-) \cap \Gamma = \{w_0 = 0\} \times I_-.$$

Proof. The first identity follows from the definition of Γ. By continuity, Γ contains the points $(f^{-1}(z), z)$ with $z \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_-$ since this is true for $z \in \mathbb{C}^2$. So the third identity is obtained similarly. We prove the second one and the rest can be obtained in the same way. By Proposition 5.3, $\{w_0 = 0\} \setminus I_-$ is sent by f^{-1} to I_+. It follows that $\pi_1^{-1}(I_+) \cap \Gamma \supset I_+ \times \{w_0 = 0\}$. The third identity implies that $\pi_1^{-1}(I_+)$ contains no point in $I_+ \times \mathbb{C}^2$. The result follows. $\square$

Note that f^n is also a Hénon type map for $n \geq 1$. So Proposition 5.3 and Lemma 5.4 apply to f^n.

Define $\widetilde{U}_+$ as the basin of attraction of I_- for f in $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_+$, $U_+ := \widetilde{U}_+ \cap \mathbb{C}^2$ and $K_+ := \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus U_+$. So $\widetilde{U}_+, U_+$ are open sets in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and K_+ is closed in $\mathbb{C}^2$. The following lemma implies that the similar objects associated to f^n, $n \geq 1$, do not depend on n.

Proposition 5.5. *We have $\widetilde{U}_+ \setminus U_+ = \{w_0 = 0\} \setminus I_+$. The closure of K_+ in $\mathbb{P}^2$ is $\overline{K}_+ = K_+ \cup I_+$. We also have that*

$$K_+ = \big\{z \in \mathbb{C}^2,\ (f^n(z))_{n \geq 0} \textit{ is bounded in } \mathbb{C}^2\big\}.$$

Moreover, U_+, K_+ and ∂K_+ are invariant under f and under f^{-1}.

Proof. By definition, $f^{\pm 1}(U_+) \subset U_+$. Since f is an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^2$, we deduce that $f(U_+) = f^{-1}(U_+) = U_+$. So U_+ is invariant under $f^{\pm 1}$. We deduce that K_+ and then ∂K_+ are also invariant under $f^{\pm 1}$.

By Proposition 5.3, $\{w_0 = 0\} \setminus I_+$ is contained in $\widetilde{U}_+$. It follows that $\overline{K}_+ \subset K_+ \cup I_+$. If $\overline{K}_+ \neq K_+ \cup I_+$, there would be a ball B centered at I_+ such that $\partial B \subset \widetilde{U}_+$. If n is large enough, $f^n(\partial B)$ is close to I_-. The classical Hartogs theorem for domains in $\mathbb{C}^2$ implies that f^n extends to a holomorphic map on B and contradicts the fact that I_+ is an indeterminacy point for f^n. So $\overline{K}_+ = K_+ \cup I_+$.

It remains to show that K_+ is the set of points of bounded orbit in $\mathbb{C}^2$. It is clear that such a point belongs to K_+. Let z be a point in K_+. We have to show that the sequence $(f^n(z))_{n\geq 0}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Since I_+ is fixed super-attracting for f^{-1}, there is a neighbourhood W of I_+ such that $f^{-1}(W) \Subset W$. We can choose W small enough so that $z \not\in W$. The property of W implies that if $f^n(z) \not\in W$ then $f^{n+1}(z) \not\in W$. Since K_+ is invariant, we deduce that $f^n(z)$ is in $K_+ \setminus W$ which is a compact set in $\mathbb{C}^2$. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Definition 5.6. We call *Fatou set* of f the largest open set F_+ in $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_+$ on which the sequence $(f^n)_{n\geq 1}$ is locally equicontinuous as maps from F_+ to $\mathbb{P}^2$. The *Julia set* of f is the complement of F_+ in $\mathbb{P}^2$.

The following result is a consequence of the last proposition.

Corollary 5.7. *We have $J_+ = \partial\overline{K}_+$. The Fatou set F_+ is the union of $\widetilde{U}_+$ and the interior of K_+.*

Proof. If z is a point in ∂K_+, then any neighbourhood of z contains both points with bounded orbits and points with orbits going to I_-. So $(f^n)_{n\geq 0}$ cannot be equicontinuous on any neighbourhood of z. We deduce that $\partial\overline{K}_+ \subset J_+$. Since the complement of $\partial\overline{K}_+$ is the union of $\widetilde{U}_+$ and the interior of K_+, it is enough to check that this set is contained in F_+.

Since $\widetilde{U}_+$ is the basin of I_-, it is contained in F_+. Consider a small open set U in K_+. We only have to show that $(f^n)_{n\geq 0}$ is locally equicontinuous on U. Choose W as in the proof of Proposition 5.5 small enough so that $W \cap U = \varnothing$. So f^n restricted to U has images in the compact set $K_+ \setminus W$ for every n. We deduce using Cauchy's formula for holomorphic functions that $(f^n)_{n\geq 0}$ is locally equicontinuous on U. The result follows. □

We can define the Julia and Fatou sets for f^{-1} in the same way. Let $\widetilde{U}_-$ denote the basin of I_+ for the map f^{-1} on $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_-$. Define $U_- := \widetilde{U}_- \cap \mathbb{C}^2$ and $K_- := \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus U_-$. We obtain as above the following results.

Proposition 5.8. *We have $\widetilde{U}_- \setminus U_- = \{w_0 = 0\} \setminus I_-$. The closure of K_- in $\mathbb{P}^2$ is $\overline{K}_- = K_- \cup I_-$. We also have*

$$K_- = \big\{z \in \mathbb{C}^2,\ (f^{-n}(z))_{n\geq 0} \text{ is bounded in } \mathbb{C}^2\big\}.$$

Moreover, U_-, K_- and ∂K_- are invariant under f and under f^{-1}. The Julia set J_- of f^{-1} is equal to $\partial\overline{K}_-$ and the Fatou set F_- is the union of $\widetilde{U}_-$ and the interior of K_-.

Define

$$K := K_+ \cap K_-.$$

This set is compact in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and is invariant under f and under f^{-1}.

Denote by a the determinant of the complex Jacobian matrix of f with respect to the coordinates (z_1, z_2). Since f is a polynomial automorphism, a is an invertible polynomial. So it is constant. We have the following result.

Proposition 5.9. *If $|a| > 1$ then K_+ has empty interior, i.e. $J_+ = \partial K_+ = K_+$. If $|a| < 1$ then K_- has empty interior, i.e. $J_- = \partial \overline{K}_- = \overline{K}_-$. If $|a| = 1$, then $K_+ \setminus K$ and $K_- \setminus K$ have empty interior and all connected components of the interior of K are periodic.*

Proof. We consider the case $|a| \geq 1$. The case $|a| \leq 1$ can be treated in the same way. Let W be as in Proposition 5.5. Consider the sequence of sets

$$H_n := f^n(K_+ \setminus (K \cup W)) = K_+ \setminus (K_- \cup f^n(W)).$$

Since $\widetilde{U}_-$ is the basin of I_- for f^{-1}, the sequence $f^n(W)$ increases to $\widetilde{U}_-$. So the sequence (H_n) decreases to the empty set.

On the other hand, the Euclidean volume of H_n, which is compact in $\mathbb{C}^2$, is larger or equal to the Euclidean volume of H_0 because $|a| \geq 1$. Therefore, all these sets have zero volume. We deduce that $K_+ \setminus K$ has empty interior and zero volume. If $|a| > 1$, since K is invariant, the same argument implies that K has zero volume and empty interior. We conclude that $J_+ = \partial \overline{K}_+ = \overline{K}_+$.

Assume now that $|a| = 1$. The above discussion can be applied to f and f^{-1}. So $K_+ \setminus K$ and $K_- \setminus K$ have empty interior and zero volume. If U is a connected component of the interior of K, then $f^n(U)$ is also a connected component of the interior of K with the same volume. Since K has finite volume, there are integers $n < m$ such that $f^n(U) = f^m(U)$. It follows that $f^{m-n}(U) = U$. So U is periodic. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

We will need the following notion.

Definition 5.10. Let $g = (P, Q)$ be a map from $\mathbb{C}^2$ to $\mathbb{C}^2$, where P and Q are polynomials on $\mathbb{C}^2$. We call *algebraic degree* of g the maximum of the degrees of P and of Q. We denote it by $\deg_a(g)$ or $\deg(g)$ if there is no risk of confusion.

Proposition 5.11. *Let f be a Hénon type map on $\mathbb{C}^2$ of algebraic degree d. Then the algebraic degree of f^n is equal to $d^{|n|}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, the first (resp. second) coordinate function of f^n for $n \geq 0$ (resp. $n \leq 0$) is of degree $d^{|n|}$ and its homogeneous part of maximal degree is a monomial in z_1 (resp. z_2). The second (resp. first) coordinate function of f^n for $n \geq 0$ (resp. $n \geq 0$) is of degree $d^{|n|-1}$.*

Proof. Let $h_i(z) = (p_i(z_1) + a_i z_2, z_1)$ be Hénon maps of algebraic degrees $d_i := \deg p_i \geq 2$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$. We first show that the algebraic degree of $g := h_1 \circ \cdots \circ h_m$ is equal to $d_1 \ldots d_m$. It is clear that this degree is smaller or equal to $d_1 \ldots d_m$. We easily obtain by induction on m that the degrees of the coordinate functions of g are $d_1 \ldots d_m$ and $d_2 \ldots d_m$ respectively and the homogeneous part of maximal degree of the first coordinate function of g is a monomial in z_1. So the algebraic degree of g is $d_1 \dots d_m$.

Applying this property to f^n with $n \geq 0$, we obtain the proposition for $n \geq 0$. The case $n \leq 0$ can be obtained by induction on m as above. It is enough to use the form of h^{-1} given above and to observe that $\deg(h) = \deg(h^{-1})$. $\square$

A more conceptual way to look at the algebraic degree is as follows. Let V be an algebraic curve in $\mathbb{P}^2$ which does not contain I_-. If Γ, π_1 and π_2 are as above, then $f^{-1}(V) = \pi_1(\pi_2^{-1}(V) \cap \Gamma)$. We see that $f^{-1}(V)$ depends continuously on V under the hypothesis that $I_- \not\in V$. If V is given in $\mathbb{C}^2$ by $\{P = 0\}$ where P is a polynomial in z_1, z_2 such that $\deg(P) = \deg(V)$, then $f^{-1}(V)$ is given by $\{P \circ f = 0\}$. For generic V, we see that $\deg(f^{-1}(V)) = d \deg(V)$ and by continuity the property holds for all V with $I_- \not\in V$. We conclude that the action of f^* on $H^{1,1}(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{R})$ is just the multiplication by d.

Now if f' is another Hénon type map of degree d' then since $f^{-1}(V)$ does not contain I_-, we have $\deg(f \circ f')^{-1}(V) = dd' \deg(V)$ and therefore $\deg(f \circ f') = \deg(f) \deg(f')$. This also allows us to obtain the first assertion of the last proposition. The idea here can be used in the more general setting of algebraically stable meromorphic maps considered in [35, 54].

We close this section by observing that since periodic points in $\mathbb{C}^2$ belong to K, the following result shows that K is always non-empty.

Proposition 5.12. *Let f be a Hénon type automorphism of degree d of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Then f admits d^n points periodic of period n in $\mathbb{C}^2$ counted with multiplicity. Moreover, it admits an infinite number of distinct periodic orbits.*

Proof. A theorem by Shub-Sullivan [44, p.323] implies that if a is an isolated fixed point for all f^n then the multiplicity of a as a fixed point of f^n is bounded uniformly on n. Therefore, the second assertion in the proposition is a consequence of the first one.

For the first assertion, since f^n is a Hénon type map of degree d^n, we only need to consider the case $n = 1$. If a is a fixed point in $\mathbb{C}^2$ we can associate it to the point (a, a) in the intersection of Γ with the diagonal Δ of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. The above description of f at infinity shows that outside $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2$ the graph Γ intersects Δ transversally at two points $I_+ \times I_+$ and at $I_- \times I_-$. So the number of fixed points in $\mathbb{C}^2$ counted with multiplicity is equal to $\{\Gamma\} \smile \{\Delta\} - 2$.

It follows from Künneth formula [56] that $\{\Delta\}$ is equal to $\{a \times \mathbb{P}^2\} + \{\mathbb{P}^2 \times a\} + \{L \times L\}$ where a is a point and L is a projective line in $\mathbb{P}^2$. Choose a and L generic. We see that $\{a \times \mathbb{P}^2\} \smile \{\Gamma\} = 1$ since $a \times \mathbb{P}^2$ intersects Γ transversally at a point. We also have $\{\mathbb{P}^2 \times a\} \smile \{\Gamma\} = 1$. The intersection of $L \times L$ with Γ is the set of points $(a, f(a))$ with $a \in L$ and $f(a) \in L$. So $\{L \times L\} \smile \{\Gamma\}$ is the number of points in $L \cap f^{-1}(L)$. By Bézout theorem, this number is equal to d since $\deg(L) = 1$ and $\deg(f^{-1}(L)) = d$. The result follows. $\square$

6 Green currents and rigidity of Julia sets

In this section, we give a construction of the Green currents associated to Hénon type maps and prove a rigidity property of the supports of these currents. This rigidity property is the key point in the proof of several dynamical properties of Hénon type maps. For previous works see [7, 34, 54].

Let f be a Hénon type map on $\mathbb{C}^2$ as above with algebraic degree $d \geq 2$. Define for $n \geq 0$

$$G_n^+(z) := \frac{1}{d^n} \log^+ \|f^n(z)\| \quad \text{with } \log^+ := \max(\log, 0).$$

These functions measure the convergence speed of orbits to infinity. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *The sequence $(G_n^+)_{n\geq 0}$ converges locally uniformly on $\mathbb{C}^2$ to a positive Hölder continuous p.s.h. function G^+. The convergence is almost decreasing: for every neighbourhood W of I_+, there is a sequence of real numbers (c_n) decreasing to 0 such that $G_n^+ + c_n$ decrease to G^+ on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus W$. We have $G^+ \circ f = dG^+$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$ and $G^+ = 0$ exactly on K_+. Moreover, G^+ is pluriharmonic and strictly positive on U_+ and $G^+(z) - \log^+ |z_1|$ extends to a pluriharmonic function on a neighbourhood of $\{w_0 = 0\} \setminus I_+$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$.*

We need the following special case of [26, Prop. 2.4].

Lemma 6.2. *Let X be a metric space with finite diameter and $\Lambda : X \to X$ a Lipschitz map with $\operatorname{dist}(\Lambda(a), \Lambda(b)) \leq A \operatorname{dist}(a, b)$ and $A > 1$. Let v be a bounded Lipschitz function on X. Then the series $\sum_{n\geq 0} d^{-n} v \circ \Lambda^n$ converge pointwise to a function which is β-Hölder continuous for any β such that $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $\beta < \frac{\log d}{\log A}$.*

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Since I_+ is attracting for f^{-1} replacing W with a suitable smaller open set allows to assume that $f(X) \subset X$ for $X := \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \overline{W}$. Define

$$v(z) := G_1^+(z) - G_0^+(z) = \frac{1}{d} \log^+ \|f(z)\| - \log^+ \|z\|.$$

This function is Lipschitz on X. Observe that for $z \in X$ with $\|z\|$ large enough, $|z_2|$ is bounded by a constant times $|z_1|$. Proposition 5.11 for $n = 1$ shows that $|v|$ is bounded on X by a constant $c > 0$.

We have

$$|G_{n+1}^+ - G_n^+| = d^{-n} |v \circ f^n| \leq c d^{-n} \quad \text{on} \quad X.$$

Therefore, G_n^+ converge uniformly on X to a function G^+. So G_n^+ converge locally uniformly on $\mathbb{C}^2$ to G^+. Since the functions G_n^+ are positive p.s.h., G^+ is also positive p.s.h. Since $G_n^+ \circ f = dG_{n+1}^+$, we have $G^+ \circ f = dG$.

Observe also that

$$G^+(z) = \log^+ \|z\| + \sum_{n\geq 0} d^{-n} v(f^n(z)).$$

Lemma 6.2 implies that G^+ is Hölder continuous out of any given neighbourhood W of I_+. Moreover, we can take for the Hölder exponent any constant β, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, which is smaller than

$$\frac{\log d}{\max_{\mathbb{P}^2\setminus W} \log \|Df\|}.$$

By definition, the Green function of f^n is also equal to G^+. Therefore, we can take for the Hölder exponent any constant β, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, smaller than

$$\beta_0 = \sup_{n\geq 1} \frac{\log d}{\max_{\mathbb{P}^2\setminus W} \log \|Df^n\|^{1/n}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log d}{\max_{\mathbb{P}^2\setminus W} \log \|Df^n\|^{1/n}}.$$

Finally, since any compact set in $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_+$ is sent by some f^m into $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus W$, we conclude that β_0 does not depend on the choice of the small open set W. So G^+ is locally β-Hölder continuous on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus I_+$ for every β such that $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $\beta < \beta_0$.

The function v is bounded, so the above identity relying G^+ and v shows that $G^+ > 0$ in a neighbourhood U of I_-. The invariance relation $G^+ \circ f = dG_+$ implies that $G^+ > 0$ on the open set $\cup_{n\geq 0} f^{-n}(U) \cap \mathbb{C}^2$ which is equal to the basin U_+ of I_- in $\mathbb{C}^2$. By Proposition 5.5, if z is in K_+, the orbit of z is bounded in $\mathbb{C}^2$. Therefore, by definition of G_n^+, we have $G^+(z) = 0$. So $G^+ = 0$ exactly on K_+ which is the complement of U_+ in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Define $c_n := c(d^{-n} + d^{-n-1} + \cdots)$. Clearly, the sequence (c_n) decreases to 0. We have

$$(G_{n+1}^+ + c_{n+1}) - (G_n^+ + c_n) = d^{-n} v \circ f^n - cd^{-n} \leq 0.$$

It follows that $G_n^+ + c_n$ decrease to G^+ on X.

Consider now the open set $X_R := X \cap \{|z_1| > R\}$ with a constant R large enough. Observe that $|z_2|$ is bounded by a constant times $|z_1|$ on X_R and Proposition 5.11 for $n = 1$ implies that $f(X_R) \subset X_R$ and hence $f^n(X_R) \subset X_R$ for every $n \geq 0$. Denote by f_1^n and f_2^n the coordinate functions of f^n and

$$H_n^+ := d^{-n} \log |f_2^n| \quad \text{on} \quad X_R \cap \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Since $f_1^n = O(f_2^n)$ on X_R, we deduce that $G_n^+ - H_n^+$ converge uniformly to 0. It follows that H_n^+ converge uniformly to G^+ on $X_R \cap \mathbb{C}^2$.

Finally, since H_n^+ is pluriharmonic, G^+ is pluriharmonic on $X_R \cap \mathbb{C}^2$. The invariance relation of G^+ implies that it is pluriharmonic on $\cup_{n\geq 0} f^{-n}(X_R) \cap \mathbb{C}^2$ which is equal to U_+. Moreover, the function $H_n^+(z) - \log |z_1|$ extends to a pluriharmonic function through the line at infinity. We deduce that $G^+(z) - \log|z_1|$ is pluriharmonic in a neighbourhood of $\{w_0 = 0\}\backslash I_+$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Define for each constant $c \geq 0$

$$K_+^c := \{G^+ \leq c\}, \quad J_+^c := \partial \overline{K}_+^c = \overline{\{G^+ = c\}} \quad \text{and} \quad G_c^+ := \max(G^+ - c, 0).$$

Note that $\{G^+ = c\}$, for $c > 0$, is a real analytic hypersurface, possibly singular, in $\mathbb{C}^2$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.3. *We have* $\overline{K}_+^c = K_+^c \cup I_+$ *and* $J_+^c = \{G^+ = c\} \cup I_+$. *Moreover,* $T_+^c := dd^c G_c^+$ *is a positive closed* $(1,1)$*-current on* $\mathbb{C}^2$ *which extends by zero to a positive closed* $(1,1)$*-current of mass* 1 *supported on* J_+^c. *We also have* $\mathrm{supp}(T_+^c) = J_+^c$ *and the Hausdorff dimension of* J_+^c *is strictly larger than* 2 *on any open set which intersects* J_+^c.

Proof. It is clear that G_c^+ is p.s.h. So T_+^c is a positive closed $(1,1)$-current. Since G_c^+ is pluriharmonic on $\{G^+ < c\} \cup \{G^+ > c\}$, this current T_+^c vanishes outside $\{G^+ = c\}$. The maximum principle applied to $-G_c^+$ shows that G_c^+ is not pluriharmonic on any open set which intersects J_+^c. So T_+^c does not vanish identically and its support is equal to J_+^c. We also deduce that J_+^c is not compact in $\mathbb{C}^2$, see Example 3.7. The assertion on the Hausdorff dimension of J_+^c is a consequence of the Hölder continuity of the potential G_c^+ of T_+^c. Indeed, T_+^c has no mass on sets of Hausdorff dimension $2 + \epsilon$ for some constant $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, see [54, 1.7.3].

The property of $G^+(z) - \log|z_1|$ given in Theorem 6.1 implies that $\overline{K}_+^c \subset K_+^c \cup I_+$. Since K_+^c contains the support of T_+^c which is unbounded in $\mathbb{C}^2$, we conclude that $\overline{K}_+^c = K_+^c \cup I_+$ and then $J_+^c = \{G^+ = c\} \cup I_+$.

We have $\overline{K}_+^c = K_+^c \cup I_+$ and T_+^c is a positive closed current on $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_+$. By Theorem 2.7, T_+^c extends by 0 to a positive closed $(1,1)$-current on $\mathbb{P}^2$ that we still denote by T_+^c. It remains to check that its mass is equal to 1.

Let $\widetilde{L}$ be a projective line through I_-. The intersection $T_+^c \wedge [\widetilde{L}]$ is a well-defined positive measure with compact support in the complex line $L := \widetilde{L} \cap \mathbb{C}^2$. We only have to show that this measure has mass 1. In the complex line L, it is equal to the function $dd^c G_{c\,|L}^+$. Since the function $G_{c\,|L}^+$ is subharmonic, harmonic near infinity and has logarithmic growth, it is well-know that the associated measure is a probability measure, see Example 3.7 with $k = 1$. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Definition 6.4. We call G^+ *the Green function* and T_+ *the Green current* associated to f.

Here is a main result in this section.

Theorem 6.5. *The sets* $\overline{K}_+$ *and* J_+ *are very rigid and the Green current* T_+ *is the unique positive* dd^c*-closed current of mass* 1 *supported on these sets.*

We will give later the proof of this theorem. We first show the following related result.

Theorem 6.6. *Let* U *be a neighbourhood of* I_- *and* $A > 0$ *a constant. Let* $(S_n)_{n\geq 1}$ *be a sequence of positive closed* $(1,1)$*-currents of mass* 1 *on* $\mathbb{P}^2$*. Assume that* S_n *admits a quasi-potential* u_n *such that* $|u_n| \leq A$ *on* U *for every* n*. Then* $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n)$ *converge to* T_+ *exponentially fast: there is a constant* $c > 0$ *such that*

$$|\langle d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n) - T_+, \varphi\rangle| \leq cnd^{-n}\|\varphi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}$$

for every test $(1,1)$*-form* φ *of class* $\mathscr{C}^2$.

Proof. We can replace U by a suitable smaller domain in order to assume that $f(U) \Subset U$. Multiplying φ with a constant allows to assume that $\|dd^c\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$. So $\nu := dd^c\varphi$ is a complex measure of mass ≤ 1. Define $\nu_n := (f^n)_*(\nu)$. Since ν has no mass at infinity and f^n is an automorphism on $\mathbb{C}^2$, the measures ν_n and ν have the same mass which is smaller or equal to 1.

Let ν'_n and ν''_n be the restrictions of ν_n to $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus U$ and to U respectively. We have $\nu_n = \nu'_n + \nu''_n$, $\|\nu'_n\| \leq 1$ and $\|\nu''_n\| \leq 1$. Observe that f^{-1} defines a map from $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus U$ to $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus U$ with $\mathscr{C}^1$-norm bounded by some constant M. So the $\mathscr{C}^1$-norm of f^{-n} on $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus U$ is bounded by M^n. Since ν'_n is the pull-back of ν by this map, we have $\|\nu'_n\|_\infty \leq M^n$.

Let $g^+ := G^+ - \log(1 + \|z\|^2)^{1/2}$ be a quasi-potential of T_+. Theorem 6.1 implies that g^+ is smooth near I_-. Define $v_n := u_n - g^+$. Replacing A by a suitable constant allows to assume that $|v_n| \leq A$ on U. We have since T_+ is invariant

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n) - T_+, \varphi\rangle &= \langle d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n) - d^{-n}(f^n)^*(T_+), \varphi\rangle \\
&= \langle d^{-n}(f^n)^*(dd^c v_n), \varphi\rangle = d^{-n}\langle v_n, dd^c(\varphi \circ f^{-n})\rangle \\
&= d^{-n}\langle v_n, (f^n)_*(dd^c\varphi)\rangle = d^{-n}\langle v_n, \nu_n\rangle \\
&= d^{-n}\langle \nu'_n, v_n\rangle + d^{-n}\langle \nu''_n, v_n\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The second term in the last sum is of order $O(d^{-n})$ since $\|\nu''_n\| \leq 1$ and $|v_n| \leq A$ on the support of ν''_n. By Lemma 3.11, v_n has bounded DSH-norm. Since $\|\nu'_n\| \leq 1$ and $\|\nu'_n\|_\infty \leq M^n$, Corollary 3.13 implies that the first term in the last sum is of order $O(nd^{-n})$. This completes the proof of the theorem. Note that one can easily deduce from the obtained estimate a similar estimate for Hölder continuous test forms using the classical interpolation theory between Banach spaces. □

The following result shows for generic analytic subsets V in $\mathbb{P}^2$ that the sequence $f^{-n}(V)$ is asymptotically equidistributed with respect to the current T_+, see also [6, 34].

Corollary 6.7. *Let S be a positive closed $(1,1)$-current of mass 1 on $\mathbb{P}^2$ whose support does not contain I_-. Then $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S) \to T_+$ exponentially fast as $n \to \infty$. In particular, if V is an analytic set of pure dimension 1 which does not contain I_-, then $d^{-n}[f^{-n}(V)] \to \deg(V)T_+$ exponentially fast.*

Proof. The first assertion is a direct consequence of Theorem 6.6. In order to obtain the second assertion, it is enough to take $S := \frac{1}{\deg(V)}[V]$ and to observe that $(f^n)^*(S) = \frac{1}{\deg(V)}[f^{-n}(V)]$. □

Proof of Theorem 6.5. Let S be a positive closed $(1,1)$-current of mass 1 with support in $\overline{K}_+$. We first show that $S = T_+$. Define $S_n := d^n(f^n)_*(S)$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$. This is a positive closed $(1,1)$-current on $\mathbb{C}^2$ with support in K_+. So it is also a positive closed $(1,1)$-current on $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_+$. By Theorem 2.7, it extends by 0 through I_+ to a positive closed $(1,1)$-current on $\mathbb{P}^2$.

We have $(f^n)^*(f^n)_*(S) = S$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$. Hence $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n) = S$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$. It follows that $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n) = S$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$, in particular, we have $\|S_n\| = 1$. Since the currents S_n vanish on a neighbourhood of I_-, Theorem 6.6 implies that $S = T_+$.

Consider now a positive dd^c-closed current S of mass 1 on $\overline{K}_+$. We have to prove that $S = T_+$. It is enough to show that S is closed. We only have to check that $\partial S = 0$ since we can obtain in the same way that $\overline{\partial} S = 0$.

Define S_n as above. By Theorem 3.16, this current is positive dd^c-closed on $\mathbb{P}^2$. We also deduce as above that $S = d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n)$ and $\|S_n\| = 1$. By Theorems 3.14 and 3.15, we can write

$$S_n = \omega_{\text{FS}} + \partial\sigma_n + \overline{\partial\sigma_n},$$

where σ_n is a $(0,1)$-current such that $\overline{\partial}\sigma_n$ and $\partial\overline{\sigma}_n$ are L^2 forms with norms bounded independently of n.

We have

$$\partial S = d^{-n}(f^n)^*(\partial S_n) = -d^{-n}\overline{\partial}(f^n)^*(\partial\overline{\sigma}_n).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(f^n)^*(\overline{\partial}\sigma_n) \wedge (f^n)^*(\partial\overline{\sigma}_n) = (f^n)^*(\overline{\partial}\sigma_n \wedge \partial\overline{\sigma}_n).$$

The maximal degree form $\overline{\partial}\sigma_n \wedge \partial\overline{\sigma}_n$ defines a positive measure of finite mass and this mass is invariant under $(f^n)^*$. Since $\partial\overline{\sigma}_n$ is of bidegree $(2,0)$, we deduce that $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(\partial\overline{\sigma}_n) \to 0$ in L^2. Hence $\partial S = 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 6.8. *Let $(S_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive $(1,1)$-currents with support in a given compact set in $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_-$. Assume that $\|dd^c S_n\| = o(d^n)$ and that $\langle T_-, S_n\rangle \to 1$. Then $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n) \to T_+$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$. In particular, if S is a positive $(1,1)$-current with compact support in $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_-$ such that $dd^c S$ is of order 0, then $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S) \to c_S T_+$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$, where $c_S := \langle T_-, S\rangle$, see Section 3 for the definition.*

Proof. We first show that the mass of $R_n := d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n)$ converges to 1. We have

$$\|R_n\| := \langle d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n), \omega_{\rm FS}\rangle = \langle S_n, d^{-n}(f^n)_*(\omega_{\rm FS})\rangle.$$

Theorem 6.1 applied to f^{-1} implies that $d^{-n}(f^n)_*(\omega_{\rm FS})$ admit quasi-potentials v_n which decrease to a quasi-potential v of T_-. Moreover, all these functions are continuous out of I_- and we deduce from the proof of Theorem 6.1 that $v_n - v$ is bounded by a constant times d^{-n} on each compact subset of $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_-$. So we can write using the intersection numbers introduced in Section 3

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S_n, d^{-n}(f^n)_*(\omega_{\rm FS})\rangle &= \langle S_n, \omega_{\rm FS} + dd^c v_n\rangle = \langle S_n, T_-\rangle + \langle S_n, dd^c(v_n - v)\rangle \\ &= \langle S_n, T_-\rangle + \langle dd^c S_n, v_n - v\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis, $\|dd^c S_n\| = o(d^n)$ and $\langle S_n, T_-\rangle \to 1$, hence the last sum converges to 1. Therefore, we get $\|R_n\| \to 1$.

Let T be any cluster value of $R_n = d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n)$. This is a positive current of mass 1. We have to show that it is equal to T_+. Since S_n have supports in a given compact subset of $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_-$, the current T is supported on $\overline{K}_+$. By Theorem 6.5, it is enough to check that T is dd^c-closed or equivalently $dd^c R_n \to 0$.

We have

$$dd^c R_n = d^{-n}(f^n)^*(dd^c S_n).$$

By hypothesis, $\|dd^c S_n\| = o(d^n)$. Since the operator $(f^n)^*$ preserves the mass of measures, we easily deduce that $dd^c R_n \to 0$. This completes the proof of the corollary. □

Remark 6.9. In the last result, the condition on the support of S_n can be weakened. We will not try to get the most general statement here. Let S be a positive $(1,1)$-current on $\mathbb{P}^2$ such that $dd^c S$ is of order 0 with compact support in $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_-$. Define $c_S := \langle T_-, S\rangle$ and denote by c'_S the mass of $T_- \wedge S$ at I_-. We can show that $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S) \to (c_S - c'_S)T_+$ in $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_-$. The property applies to positive dd^c-closed currents, see also [12] for the case of positive closed currents.

The following result gives us a property of the Fatou set as a consequence of the above rigidity properties. It can be applied to the basin of an attractive fixed point which is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Corollary 6.10. *Let Ω be a Fatou component contained in K_+, i.e. a connected component of the interior of K_+. Then one of the following properties holds*

1. *Ω is not hyperbolically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $\partial\Omega = J_+$;*
2. *Ω is a connected component of the interior of K and is periodic; in particular, it is bounded and hyperbolically embedded in $\mathbb{C}^2$;*
3. *Ω is hyperbolically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^2$; it is a connected component of the interior of $K_+ \setminus K_-$ and is periodic; in particular, f^{-n} converges locally uniformly on Ω to I_+ as $n \to \infty$;*

4. *Ω is hyperbolically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and is wandering, i.e. the open sets $f^n(\Omega)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are disjoint.*

Proof. If Ω is not hyperbolically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^2$, Proposition 4.8 implies that $\overline{\Omega}$ contains J_+. Since Ω is a component of the interior of K_+, we deduce that $\partial\Omega = J_+$. Assume now that Ω is hyperbolically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^2$, non-wandering and is not contained in K. So Ω is periodic. By Proposition 5.9, we only have to check that $\Omega \cap K_- = \varnothing$.

Assume that $\Omega \cap K_- \neq \varnothing$. Replacing f by an iterate, we can assume that Ω is invariant. Let $\phi : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$ be a holomorphic disc centered at a point in K_- but not contained in K_-. So $\phi(\mathbb{D})$ contains both points of bounded negative orbit and points of unbounded negative orbit. Hence the family of maps $\phi_n := f^{-n} \circ \phi : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$ is not locally equicontinuous on $\mathbb{D}$. It follows that Ω is not hyperbolically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^2$. This is a contradiction. □

We also have the following result.

Proposition 6.11. *Assume that K_+ is not contained in a (possibly singular) real analytic hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Then for every $c \geq 0$, the set $\partial\overline{K}_+^c$ is very rigid and T_+^c is the unique positive dd^c-closed $(1,1)$-current of mass 1 supported on this set.*

Proof. We only have to consider the case $c > 0$. Recall that by Lemma 6.3, we have $\overline{K}_+^c = K_+^c \cup I_+$. Let S be a positive dd^c-closed current of mass 1 on $\partial\overline{K}_+^c$. We have to show that $S = T_+^c$. We can define as in Theorem 6.5 a positive dd^c-closed current S_n of mass 1 on $\partial\overline{K}_+^{cd^n}$ such that $S = d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n)$. We obtain as in this theorem that S is closed.

Let u_n be a d.s.h. function such that $dd^c u_n = S_n - T_+^{cd^n}$. This function is pluriharmonic out of $\partial\overline{K}_+^{cd^n}$. Subtracting from u_n a constant allows to assume that $u_n(a) = 0$ at a given fixed point a. We easily deduce from the pluriharmonicity on $\{G^+ < c\}$ that the sequence (u_n) is relatively compact in the space of d.s.h. functions. In particular, these functions are uniformly bounded in any compact subset of $\{G^+ < c\}$.

On the other hand, we have $dd^c(d^{-n}u_n \circ f^n) = S - T_+^c$. Therefore, $d^{-n}u_n \circ f^n$ is equal to u_0 plus a constant. The condition $u_n(a) = 0$ implies that $d^{-n}u_n \circ f^n = u_0$. For any point $z \in K_+$, since $(f^n(z))_{n\geq 0}$ is relatively compact in $\{G^+ < c\}$, we deduce from the last identity that $u_0(z) = 0$. So $u_0 = 0$ on K_+ and hence $u_n = 0$ on K_+. We show that $u_0 = 0$ on $\{G^+ < c\}$. Assume this is not the case. Then u_n does not vanish identically on $\{G^+ < cd^n\}$. In particular, K_+ is contained in a real analytic hypersurface of $\{G^+ < cd^n\}$.

By Lemma 6.3, the Hausdorff dimension of K_+ is strictly larger than 2 in any open set intersecting K_+. Hence there is a minimal real analytic hypersurface H_n of $\{G^+ < cd^n\}$ containing K_+. Since K_+ is invariant, we necessarily have $H_n \subset H_{n+1}$. Therefore, K_+ is contained in the hypersurface $\cup H_n$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$. This contradicts the hypothesis. So $u_0 = 0$ on $\{G^+ < c\}$.

Let $\widetilde{L}$ be a projective line through I_-. The function $u_0 + G^+_c$, which is a potential of S in $\mathbb{C}^2$, is subharmonic on the complex line $L := \widetilde{L} \setminus I_-$ with logarithmic growth. It vanishes on the open set $L \cap \{G^+ < c\}$ and harmonic outside the real analytic curve $\{G^+ = c\} \cap L$. It is clear that such a function should be the Green function associated to $\{G^+ \leq c\} \cap L$. The function G^+_c satisfies the same property on L. We conclude that $u_0 + G^+ = G^+$ and that $u_0 = 0$ on L. This property holds for all L. So we have $u_0 = 0$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$. The proposition follows. It is likely that the hypothesis on K_+ is always satisfied, see [34] for results in this direction. □

Remark 6.12. The above results can be applied to f^{-1}, K_-, J_-, G^- and T_-. The invariant probability measure $\mu := T_+ \wedge T_-$ turns out to be the unique measure of maximal entropy $\log d$. It is exponentially mixing and saddle periodic points are equidistributed with respect to μ. We refer to [5, 19] for details.

Remark 6.13. Consider a family of Hénon type maps $(f_c)_{c\in\Sigma}$ of degree d depending holomorphically on a parameter c in a complex manifold Σ. The maps f_c have the same indeterminacy points $I_+ = [0:0:1]$ and $I_- = [0:1:0]$. Denote by $K_\pm(c), J_\pm(c), G^\pm(c), T_\pm(c)$ and $\mu(c)$ the dynamical objects associated to f_c constructed as above.

We associate to this family the dynamical system

$$F : \Sigma \times \mathbb{P}^2 \to \Sigma \times \mathbb{P}^2 \quad \text{with} \quad F(c, z) := (c, f_c(z)).$$

The Green function $\mathscr{G}^\pm(c, z) := \lim d^{-n}\|F^{\pm n}(c, z)\|$ is locally Hölder continuous, p.s.h. positive and vanishes exactly on the closed set

$$\mathscr{K}_\pm := \{(c, z),\ (F^{\pm n}(c, z)) \text{ bounded}\}.$$

A point (c, z) is stable if the sequence $(F^n)_{n\geq 0}$ is equicontinuous in a neigbourhood of (c, z). One can identify $K_\pm(c), G^\pm(c), T_\pm(c)$ and $\mu(c)$ with the restriction to $\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^2$ of $\mathscr{K}^\pm, \mathscr{G}^\pm, dd^c\mathscr{G}^\pm$ and $dd^c\mathscr{G}_+ \wedge dd^c\mathscr{G}_-$.

The support of $dd^c\mathscr{G}^\pm$ is equal to $\partial\overline{\mathscr{K}}_\pm$. Its restriction to $\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^2$ contains $J_\pm(c) = \partial\overline{K}_\pm(c)$ and equal to the later set for example when $K_\pm(c)$ has empty interior or when the interior of $K_\pm(c)$ contains only basins of attractive points. So it would be interesting to study the bifurcation locus of $dd^c\mathscr{G}^\pm$ and $dd^c\mathscr{G}^+ \wedge dd^c\mathscr{G}^-$ in the sense given in Section 2. Observe that in the present setting the support of $dd^c\mathscr{G}^\pm$ is also the closure of the union of $\{c\} \times J_\pm(c)$. In other words, the support of $dd^c\mathscr{G}^\pm$ is the graph of the smallest upper semi-continuous map which is lager than $c \mapsto J_+(c)$. The complement of $\mathrm{supp}\mathscr{G}^+$ is exactly the open set of stable points.

7 Automorphisms of compact Kähler surfaces

In this section we discuss automorphisms of positive entropy on a compact Kähler surface. We will see that several techniques presented in the last section can be adapted here. The fact that there are no indeterminacy points simplifies the analytic part. In contrast, the actions of automorphisms on cohomology is simple but non-trivial.

Let $f : X \to X$ be a holomorphic automorphism on a compact Kähler surface (X, ω). The pull-back and push-forward actions of f on differential forms induce linear operators f^* and f_* on $H^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C})$ with $0 \leq p, q \leq 2$. Since f is an automorphism, we have

$$f^* = (f^{-1})_*, \quad f_* = (f^{-1})^* \quad \text{and} \quad f^* \circ f_* = f_* \circ f^* = \mathrm{id}.$$

Moreover, we have $f^* = f_* = \mathrm{id}$ when $p = q = 0$ or $p = q = 2$.

Definition 7.1. The spectral radius d of f^* on $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ is called *the dynamical degree* of f.

It is easy to show that

$$d = \lim_{n\to\infty} \Big(\int_X (f^n)^*\omega \wedge \omega \Big)^{1/n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \Big(\int_X \omega \wedge (f^n)_*\omega \Big)^{1/n}.$$

We then deduce that the dynamical degree of f^{-1} is also equal to d. We have the following result which is a consequence of results by Gromov and Yomdin [40, 61].

Theorem 7.2. *The topological entropy of f is equal to $\log d$.*

In what follows, we only consider automorphisms f with positive entropy, i.e. with dynamical degree $d > 1$. Several examples of classes of such automorphisms can be found in [3, 10, 24, 48, 52, 55]. The following proposition describes the action of f on cohomology, see [10].

Proposition 7.3. *There are unique classes c_+ and c_- in $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$c_+ \smile \{\omega\} = c_- \smile \{\omega\} = 1, \quad f^*(c_+) = dc_+ \quad \textit{and} \quad f_*(c_-) = dc_-.$$

Moreover, c_+, c_- belong to the boundary of the Kähler cone $\mathscr{K}$. We have $c_+^2 = c_-^2 = 0$ and $c_+ \smile c_- \neq 0$. The cup-product $\smile$ is negative definite on the linear space

$$H := \{c \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}),\ c \smile c_+ = c \smile c_- = 0\}.$$

We have $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}c_+ \oplus \mathbb{R}c_- \oplus H$. The operators f^*, f_* preserve H and act isometrically on H with respect to the cup-product $\smile$. In particular, the actions of f^*, f_* on $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ are diagonalizable and d, d^{-1} are their only eigenvalues with modulus different of 1.

Proof. Recall that $\mathscr{K}$ is the cone of classes of Kähler forms in $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Observe that $\overline{\mathscr{K}}$ is a closed strictly convex cone which is invariant under f^* and f_*. Since d is the spectral radius of f^* and f_* on $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, a version of the classical

Perron-Frobenius theorem insures the existence of non-zero classes c_+, c_- in $\overline{\mathscr{K}}$ such that $f^*(c_+) = dc_+$ and $f_*(c_-) = dc_-$. We can normalize these classes so that $c_+ \smile \{\omega\} = c_- \smile \{\omega\} = 1$. We also deduce from the above invariant relation that $f_*(c_+) = d^{-1}c_+$ and $f^*(c_-) = d^{-1}c_-$. Therefore, c_+ and c_- are linearly independent.

Since $f^* = f_* = \mathrm{id}$ on $H^{2,2}(X, \mathbb{C})$, these operators preserve the cup-product, i.e. we have $f^*(c) \smile f^*(c') = c \smile c'$ for $c, c' \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ and a similar identity holds for f_*. We then deduce from the identity $f^*(c_+^2) = d^2 c_+^2$ that $c_+^2 = 0$. In particular, c_+ is on the boundary of $\mathscr{K}$. We obtain in the same way that $c_-^2 = 0$ and that c_- is on the boundary of $\mathscr{K}$. It follows from Corollary 3.3 that $c_+ \smile c_- \neq 0$.

The last property and the fact that c_+, c_- are linearly independent imply that H is a codimension 2 subspace of $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ which is invariant under f^* and f_*. We also have $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}c_+ \oplus \mathbb{R}c_- \oplus H$. Theorem 3.2 implies by continuity that the cup-product is semi-negative on H. By Corollary 3.3, if $c \in H \setminus \{0\}$, since $c_+^2 = 0$ and $c_+ \smile c = 0$, we have $c^2 \neq 0$. So the cup-product is non-degenerate on H. Therefore, it is negative definite on H. The proposition easily follows. $\square$

Note that if we replace ω by another Kähler class, then c_+ and c_- change by some multiplicative constants. In what follows, for simplicity, we normalize ω by multiplying it with a constant so that

$$c_+ \smile c_- = 1.$$

We have the following result, see [10, 30] for the simple rigidity of c_+ and c_-.

Theorem 7.4. *The classes c_+ and c_- are very rigid. Let T_+, T_- be the unique positive closed $(1,1)$-currents in c_+ and c_- respectively. Then T_+, T_- have Hölder continuous local potentials and satisfy*

$$f^*(T_+) = dT_+ \quad \textit{and} \quad f_*(T_-) = dT_-.$$

Proof. **(except for the Hölder continuity of potentials)** We only consider the class c_+. The case of c_- can be treated in the same way. Since c_+ is in the boundary of $\mathscr{K}$, it contains a positive closed current T_+. Replacing T_+ by a limit of the sequence

$$\frac{1}{n}\big(T_+ + d^{-1}f^*(T_+) + \cdots + (f^{n-1})^*(T_+)\big)$$

allows to assume that $d^{-1}f^*(T_+) = T_+$.

Let S be another positive closed current in c_+. We prove that $S = T_+$. Write $S_n := d^n (f^n)_*(S)$. This is also a positive closed current in c_+. Let $S_n - T_+ = dd^c u_n$ where u_n is a d.s.h. function normalized so that $\int_X u_n \omega^2 = 0$. So the DSH-norm of u_n is bounded independently of n. Let φ be a test smooth $(1,1)$-form. Define $\nu := dd^c\varphi$ and $\nu_n := (f^n)_*(\nu)$. So ν_n is a form of maximal degree and defines a measure of bounded mass. Using that $\|f^{-1}\|_{\mathscr{C}^1}$ is bounded we obtain that $\|\nu_n\|_\infty \leq M^n$ for a constant $M > 0$ large enough.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S - T_+, \varphi\rangle &= \langle d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n) - d^{-n}(f^n)^*(T_+), \varphi\rangle = d^{-n}\langle dd^c(u_n \circ f^n), \varphi\rangle \\ &= d^{-n}\langle u_n \circ f^n, dd^c\varphi\rangle = d^{-n}\langle u_n \circ f^n, \nu\rangle = d^{-n}\langle \nu_n, u_n\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.13, the last expression is of order $O(nd^{-n})$. Therefore, taking $n \to \infty$, we obtain that $S = T_+$. So c_+ is a rigid class.

Consider now a positive dd^c-closed current S in c_+. We have to check that $S = T_+$. It is enough to prove that S is closed. We only show that $\overline{\partial} S = 0$ since one can obtain in the same way that $\partial S = 0$. Define S_n as above. By Theorem 3.14, we can write for a closed smooth real $(1,1)$-form α_+ in c_+

$$S_n = \alpha_+ + \partial\sigma_n + \overline{\partial\sigma_n}$$

where σ_n is a $(0,1)$-current such that $\overline{\partial}\sigma_n$ is a $(0,2)$-form with L^2-norm bounded independently of n.

We have

$$\overline{\partial} S = d^{-n}\overline{\partial}(f^n)^*(S_n) = -\partial\big[d^{-n}(f^n)^*(\overline{\partial}\sigma_n)\big].$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\int_X (f^n)^*(\overline{\partial}\sigma_n) \wedge (f^n)^*(\partial\overline{\sigma}_n) = \int_X (f^n)^*(\overline{\partial}\sigma_n \wedge \partial\overline{\sigma}_n) = \int_X \overline{\partial}\sigma_n \wedge \partial\overline{\sigma}_n.$$

We used here the fact that f is an automorphism. So the L^2-norm of $(f^n)^*(\overline{\partial}\sigma_n)$ is bounded independently of n. Taking $n \to \infty$ gives $\overline{\partial} S = 0$. We conclude that c_+ is very rigid. We postpone the proof of the Hölder continuity of potentials of $T_\pm$ to the end of the section. □

The following result applies in particular to subvarieties V in X and gives an equidistribution property of $f^{-n}(V)$ when $n \to \infty$.

Theorem 7.5. *Let (S_n) be a sequence of positive closed $(1,1)$-currents in a given cohomology class c. Let $\lambda_c := c \smile c_-$. Then $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n) \to \lambda_c T_+$ exponentially fast: there is a constant $A > 0$ such that*

$$|\langle d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n) - \lambda_c T_+, \varphi\rangle| \leq And^{-n}\|\varphi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2},$$

for every test $(1,1)$-form φ of class $\mathscr{C}^2$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\lambda_c = 1$. Let c' be a class in $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ such that $f^*c' = \lambda c'$ with $|\lambda| \leq 1$. Let α be a smooth closed $(1,1)$-form in c'. We first show that $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(\alpha) \to 0$ exponentially fast.

Write $f^*(\alpha)=\lambda\alpha+dd^cu$ with u smooth. We have

$$d^{-n}(f^n)^*(\alpha)=d^{-n}\lambda^n\alpha+dd^c\big[d^{-n}(u\circ f^{n-1}+\cdots+\lambda^{n-1}u)\big].$$

Denote by u_n the function in the brackets. We have $\|u_n\|_\infty=O(nd^{-n})$. It follows that

$$|\langle d^{-n}(f^n)^*(\alpha),\varphi\rangle|\leq d^{-n}|\langle\alpha,\varphi\rangle|+|\langle dd^c\varphi,u_n\rangle|.$$

It is clear that $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(\alpha)\to 0$ with speed $O(nd^{-n})$. So by Proposition 7.3, if α is a smooth form whose class is in the hyperplane $H\oplus\mathbb{R}c_-$, then $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(\alpha)\to 0$ with speed $O(nd^{-n})$.

Since $\lambda_c=1$ we can write $c=c_++c'$ with $c'\in H\oplus\mathbb{R}c_-$. Let α be a smooth closed $(1,1)$-form in c'. It is enough to show that $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n-T_+-\alpha)\to 0$ with speed $O(nd^{-n})$. Write $R_n:=S_n-T_+-\alpha=dd^cv_n$ where v_n is a d.s.h. function with DSH-norm bounded independently of n.

Define $\nu:=dd^c\varphi$ and $\nu_n:=(f^n)_*(\nu)$. We obtain as in Theorem 7.4 that

$$\langle d^{-n}(f^n)^*(R_n),\varphi\rangle=d^{-n}\langle\nu_n,v_n\rangle.$$

By Corollary 3.13, the last expression is of order $O(nd^{-n})$. This completes the proof of the theorem. Note that it is possible to weaken the hypothesis on the classes of S_n but one has to take into account the convergence speed of the classes of $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n)$ in $H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})$. □

The above arguments give the following result.

Theorem 7.6. *Let T_n be a sequence of positive closed $(1,1)$-currents. Let c_n denote the cohomology class of T_n. Define also $\lambda_n:=\|c_n-c_+\|$ for a fixed norm on $H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})$. Assume that $c_n\to c_+$, i.e. $\lambda_n\to 0$. Then $T_n\to T_+$ with speed $|\log\lambda_n|\lambda_n^{1/2}$: there is a constant $A>0$ such that*

$$|\langle T_n-T_+,\varphi\rangle|\leq A|\log\lambda_n|\lambda_n^{1/2}\|\varphi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2},$$

for every test $(1,1)$-form φ of class $\mathscr{C}^2$.

Proof. Let T be a positive closed $(1,1)$-current and c its cohomology class. Define $\lambda:=\|c-c_+\|$ and assume that $|\lambda|\ll 1$. It is enough to show for some constant $A>0$ that

$$|\langle T-T_+,\varphi\rangle|\leq A|\log\lambda|\lambda^{1/2}\|\varphi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}.$$

Multiplying T with a constant close to 1, of order $1+O(\lambda)$, allows to assume that $c-c_+$ belongs to the hyperplane $H\oplus\mathbb{R}c_-$. Let n be the integer part of $\frac{1}{2}|\log\lambda|/\log d$. Define $S_n:=d^n(f^n)_*(T)=T_++d^n(f^n)_*(T-T_+)$. We have $d^{2n}\simeq\lambda^{-1}$ and $T=d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n)$. Moreover, due to the choice of n, the cohomology class $\{S_n\}$ is the sum of c_+ and a bounded class in $H\oplus\mathbb{R}c_-$. So the same arguments as in Theorem 7.5 give the result. □

End of the proof of Theorem 7.4 (Hölder continuity of potentials). Let α_+ be a smooth real $(1,1)$-form in c_+. Since we can write it as a difference of two Kähler forms, by Theorem 7.5, $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(\alpha_+)$ converge to a constant times T_+. Since α_+ is in c_+, this constant should be 1. So we have $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(\alpha_+) \to T_+$.

We can write for some smooth function v^+ that $d^{-1}f^*(\alpha_+) = \alpha_+ + dd^c v^+$. A simple induction on n gives

$$d^{-n}(f^n)^*(\alpha_+) = \alpha_+ + dd^c(v^+ + d^{-1}v^+ \circ f + \cdots + d^{1-n}v^+ \circ f^{n-1}).$$

Taking $n \to \infty$ gives $T_+ = \alpha_+ + dd^c v^+_\infty$ with $v^+_\infty := \sum_{n\geq 0} v^+ \circ f^n$. Lemma 6.2 implies that v^+_∞ is Hölder continuous. So T_+ has Hölder continuous local potentials. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 7.7. *Let S be a positive $(1,1)$-current on X such that dd^cS is of order 0. Then we have $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S) \to c_S T_+$, where $c_S := \langle T_-, S\rangle$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $c_S = 1$. Define $S_n := d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S)$. We first show that $\|S_n\| \to 1$. As a consequence of Theorem 7.5 applied to f^{-1} instead of f, we have $d^{-n}(f^n)_*(\omega) \to T_-$. Let α_- be a smooth real closed $(1,1)$-form in c_-. As in the proofs of Theorems 7.4 and 7.5, we can write $d^{-n}(f^n)_*(\omega) - \alpha_-$ as a sum $\beta_n + dd^c v_n^-$, where β_n are smooth forms such that $\|\beta_n\|_\infty \to 0$ and v_n^- are smooth functions converging uniformly to a continuous function v^-_∞ such that $T_- = \alpha_- + dd^c v^-_\infty$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_n\| &= \langle \omega, d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S)\rangle = \langle d^{-n}(f^n)_*(\omega), S\rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha_-, S\rangle + \langle \beta_n, S\rangle + \langle dd^c v_n^-, S\rangle = \langle \alpha_-, S\rangle + \langle \beta_n, S\rangle + \langle dd^c S, v_n^-\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\|S_n\|$ converge to $\langle T_-, S\rangle = 1$.

By hypothesis, dd^cS_n is a measure. Since f is an automorphism, we have

$$\|dd^c S_n\| = d^{-n}\|(f^n)^*(dd^c S)\| = d^{-n}\|dd^c S\| \to 0.$$

So the sequence of currents S_n is relatively compact and the family $\mathscr{F}$ of cluster values contains only positive dd^c-closed currents of mass 1. By definition, this family is compact and invariant under $d^{-1}f^*$.

The set $\mathscr{G}$ of classes $\{T\}$ with $T \in \mathscr{F}$ is then compact, disjoint from 0 and invariant under $d^{-1}f^*$. The description of f^* in Proposition 7.3 implies that $\mathscr{G}$ is contained in the half-line generated by c_+. So by Theorem 7.4, T is proportional to T_+. Since its mass is equal to 1, we necessarily have $T = T_+$. This completes the proof of the corollary. □

Remark 7.8. We can prove using similar arguments that $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(S_n) \to T_+$ if S_n are positive $(1,1)$-currents such that $\|S_n\| = o(d^n/n)$, $\|dd^cS_n\| = o(d^n)$ and $\langle T_-, S_n\rangle \to 1$.

The following result can be applied to stable manifolds.

Corollary 7.9. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to X$ be a holomorphic map such that $\phi(\mathbb{C})$ is not contained in a proper subvariety of X. Assume that $\phi^*(T_+) = 0$. Then T_+ is the only Nevanlinna current and also the only Ahlfors current associated to ϕ. In particular, the currents τ_r defined in Definition 4.9 converge to T_+.*

Proof. Recall that τ_r is a positive current such that $\|dd^c\tau_r\|$ bounded independently of r. We have

$$\langle T_+, \tau_r\rangle = \frac{1}{T(r)}\int_0^r \frac{dt}{t}\int_{\mathbb{D}_r} \phi^*(T_+) = 0.$$

Let T be a Nevanlinna current associated to ϕ. Recall that T is positive dd^c-closed of mass 1. We deduce from the above identities and properties of intersection number introduced in Section 3 that $\langle T_+, T\rangle = 0$ and hence $\{T\} \smile c_+ = 0$.

A version of McQuillan's theorem [9, 49] says that $\{T\}$ is nef, i.e. is in $\overline{\mathscr{K}}$. Therefore, we have $\{T\}^2 \geq 0$. Corollary 3.3 implies that $\{T\}$ is proportional to c_+. Since $\|T\| = 1$, by Theorem 7.4, we necessarily have $T = T_+$. The proof is the same for the case of Ahlfors currents and also for Nevanlinna currents associated to Riemann surfaces which are not necessarily equal to $\mathbb{C}$. □

As in Corollary 6.10, we deduce from the last result that if a Fatou component of f is not hyperbolically embedded in X then its boundary contains the support of T_+. This is the case for basins of attracting points, see [48] for examples and [50] for a related result. The following proposition characterizes curves for which Corollary 7.9 applies.

Proposition 7.10. *Let $\phi : \Sigma \to X$ be a holomorphic map on a Riemann surface Σ. Then $\phi^*(T_+) = 0$ if and only if for any compact set $K \subset \Sigma$ the area of $f^n(\phi(K))$ counted with multiplicity is equal to $O(n)$ as $n \to \infty$. In particular, we have $\phi^*(T_+) = 0$ when the sequence $(f^n \circ \phi)_{n\geq 0}$ is locally equicontinuous on Σ.*

Proof. When the sequence $(f^n \circ \phi)_{n\geq 0}$ is locally equicontinuous on Σ, the area of $f^n(\phi(K))$ is bounded independently of n. So the second assertion is a consequence of the first one.

We have

$$\phi^*(T_+) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \phi^*(d^{-n}(f^n)^*(\omega)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} d^{-n}(f^n\circ\phi)^*(\omega).$$

So if the area of $f^n(\phi(K))$ is equal to $o(d^n)$ for every K, then $\phi^*(T_+) = 0$.

Assume now that $\phi^*(T_+) = 0$. We have to show that the area of $f^n(\phi(K))$ is equal to $O(n)$. Choose a smooth function $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ with compact support in Σ and equal to 1 on K. With the above notation, we can write

$$\omega = T_+ + \alpha + dd^c u,$$

where u is a continuous d.s.h. function and α is a smooth $(1,1)$-form in a class of $H \oplus \mathbb{R}c_-$. We have

$$(f^n)^*(\omega) = d^n T_+ + (f^n)^*(\alpha) + dd^c(u \circ f^n).$$

We can write as in Theorem 7.5

$$(f^n)^*(\alpha) = \alpha_n + dd^c v_n$$

with α_n bounded uniformly on n and $\|v_n\|_\infty = O(n)$.

So the area of $f^n(\phi(K))$ is bounded by

$$\int_\Sigma \chi\phi^*(f^n)^*(\omega) = d^n\langle \phi^*(T_+), \chi\rangle + \langle \alpha_n, \chi\rangle + \langle dd^c\chi, v_n + u \circ f^n\rangle.$$

Since the first term vanishes, it is now clear that the area of $f^n(\phi(K))$ is equal to $O(n)$ as $n \to \infty$. □

Finally, we have the following result.

Proposition 7.11. *Assume that $c_+ + c_-$ is a Kähler class. Then, the support of T_+ is equal to the Julia set.*

Proof. Let F' be the complement of $\mathrm{supp}(T_+)$. We can assume that ω is a Kähler form in $c_+ + c_-$. We know that T_+ is the limit of $d^{-n}(f^n)^*(\omega)$. On the Fatou set F, the forms $(f^n)^*(\omega)$ are locally bounded uniformly on n. Therefore, we have $F \subset F'$.

We can write

$$\omega = T_+ + T_- + dd^c u$$

where u is a continuous d.s.h. function. We have on F'

$$(f^n)^*(\omega) = d^{-n}T_- + dd^c(u \circ f^n).$$

In particular, $(f^n)^*(\omega)$ admits in F' local potentials which are bounded uniformly on n. Therefore, this family of currents is relatively compact and their cluster values have locally bounded potentials on F'. The lemma below implies that (f^n) is locally equicontinuous on F'. This completes the proof of the proposition. Note that the volume of the graph of f^n over F, $n \geq 0$, is locally bounded independently of n. □

The following lemma is essentially obtained in [20], see also [31].

Lemma 7.12. *Let (h_n) be a sequence of holomorphic maps from a complex manifold U to a fixed compact subset K of a Kähler manifold V. Let ω be a Kähler form on V. Assume that the family of positive closed $(1,1)$-currents $h_n^*(\omega)$ is relatively compact and for any cluster value S of this sequence the Lelong number of S at every point is bounded by a fixed constant $c(V,K,\omega)$ small enough. Then the family (h_n) is locally equicontinuous. In particular, if L is a compact subset of U then the volume of $h_n(L)$ is bounded independently of n.*

We refer to [14] for the notion of Lelong number. We only need here the fact that the Lelong number of a current with bounded local potentials always vanishes. Note that without the hypothesis on the Lelong number, we can extract from (h_n) a subsequence which converges locally uniformly outside the analytic set of points where the Lelong number of S is larger than $c(V, K, \omega)$. This is a higher dimensional version of a famous lemma due to Gromov which is valid for maps defined on a Riemann surface.

Remark 7.13. Of course the above results can be applied to f^{-1} and to T_-. The intersection $\mu := T_+ \wedge T_-$ defines an invariant probability measure. This measure turns out to be the unique measure of maximal entropy $\log d$. It is exponentially mixing and saddle periodic points are equidistributed with respect to μ. When X is an algebraic surface, the techniques developed for Hénon type maps can be applied without difficulty. In general, new ideas and even completely new tools are needed. Several properties including the statistical ones still hold for large classes of horizontal-like maps. We refer to [10, 11, 16, 18, 19, 23, 28, 32, 33] for these developments.

8 Dynamics in higher dimension

In this section, we briefly discuss similar situations in higher dimension: polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^k$ and holomorphic automorphims of compact Kähler manifolds.

Let f be a polynomial automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^k$. We still denote by f its extension as a birational map of $\mathbb{P}^k$. Let I_+, I_- denote the indeterminacy sets of f and f^{-1} respectively. They are analytic sets strictly contained in the hyperplane at infinity. We assume that I_+ and I_- are non-empty; otherwise, f is an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^k$ and its dynamics is easy to understand. The following notion was introduced by the second author in [54].

Definition 8.1. We say that f is *regular* if $I_+ \cap I_- = \varnothing$.

It is remarkable that the later condition is quite easy to check while it should be difficult to develop a theory for all automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^k$ with $k \geq 3$, see e.g. [53]. Moreover, the family of regular maps is very rich. In dimension 2, we have seen that any polynomial automorphism dynamically interesting is conjugated to a regular one.

From now on, assume that f is regular. Denote by d_+, d_- the algebraic degrees of f and f^{-1} respectively. We recall here some elementary properties of f and refer to [54] for details.

Proposition 8.2. *There is an integer* $1 \leq p \leq k-1$ *such that* $\dim I_+ = k-p-1$, $\dim I_- = p-1$ *and* $d_+^p = d_-^{k-p}$. *We have*

$$f(\{w_0 = 0\} \setminus I_+) = f(I_-) = I_- \quad \textit{and} \quad f^{-1}(\{w_0 = 0\} \setminus I_-) = f^{-1}(I_+) = I_+.$$

In particular, f^n is a regular automorphism for every $n \geq 1$ and the algebraic degrees of f^n, f^{-n} are d_+^n, d_-^n respectively.

Proposition 8.3. *The set I_- (resp. I_+) is attracting for f (resp. for f^{-1}). Denote by $\widetilde{U}_+$ (resp. $\widetilde{U}_-$) its basin. Define also $U_\pm := \widetilde{U}_\pm \cap \mathbb{C}^k$ and $K_\pm := \mathbb{C}^k \setminus U_\pm$. Then K_+ (resp. K_-) is the set of points $z \in \mathbb{C}^k$ such that the orbit $(f^n(z))_{n\geq 0}$ (resp. $(f^{-n}(z))_{n\geq 0}$) is bounded in $\mathbb{C}^k$. Moreover, we have $\overline{K}_\pm = K_\pm \cup I_\pm$.*

The Green function for f is defined as in the case of dimension 2. Put

$$G_n^+(z) := d_+^{-n} \log^+ \|f^n(z)\|.$$

The following theorem is obtained in the same way as in the dimension 2 case.

Theorem 8.4. *The sequence G_n^+ converges locally uniformly on $\mathbb{C}^k$ to a Hölder continuous p.s.h. function G^+ such that $G^+(z) - \log^+ \|z\|$ extends to a Hölder continuous function on $\mathbb{P}^k \setminus I_+$. Moreover, we have $G^+ \circ f = dG^+$.*

As a consequence of the above theorem, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 8.5. *The current $T_+ := dd^c G^+$ extends by zero to a positive closed $(1,1)$-current of mass 1 on $\mathbb{P}^k$. Moreover, for $1 \leq q \leq p$, the power T_+^q is well-defined and is a positive closed (q,q)-current of mass 1 on $\mathbb{P}^k$. We also have $f^*(T_+^q) = d_+^q T_+^q$ for $1 \leq q \leq p$ and $\operatorname{supp}(T_+^p) \subset J_+ := \partial \overline{K}_+$.*

Definition 8.6. We call G^+ *the Green function* of f and T_+^q *the Green (q,q)-current* of f.

The following results were obtained by the authors in [29]. The proofs are much more delicate than in the dimension 2 case. They are based on a theory of super-potentials which allows to deal with positive closed (p,p)-currents, $p > 1$.

Theorem 8.7. *The set $\overline{K}_+$ is p-rigid and T_+^p is the unique positive closed (p,p)-current of mass 1 supported on $\overline{K}_+$.*

We have the following strong equidistribution property. It can be applied to currents of integration on analytic sets. For the case of bidegree $(1,1)$ see [29, 57].

Theorem 8.8. *Let U be a neighbourhood of I_-. Let S_n be a sequence of positive closed (p,p)-currents of mass 1 with support in $\mathbb{P}^k \setminus U$. Then $d_+^{-pn}(f^n)^*(S_n) \to T_+^p$ exponentially fast.*

We however don't know if $\overline{K}_+$ is very p-rigid except for $p = 1$.

Theorem 8.9. *Assume that $p = 1$. Then $\overline{K}_+$ is very rigid.*

Proof. The proof follows the one of Theorem 6.5. We use the same notation. The only different point is the estimation of the L^2-norm of $(f^n)^*(\overline{\partial}\sigma_n)$. We need to show that this norm is equal to $o(d_+^n)$. Observe that since S_n is supported on $\overline{K}_+$, the forms $\overline{\partial}\sigma_n$ and $\partial\overline{\sigma}_n$ are smooth near I_-. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^k} (f^n)^*(\overline{\partial}\sigma_n) \wedge (f^n)^*(\partial\overline{\sigma}_n) \wedge \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}^{k-2} = \int_{\mathbb{P}^k} \overline{\partial}\sigma_n \wedge \partial\overline{\sigma}_n \wedge (f^n)_*(\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}^{k-2}).$$

On the other hand, $(f^n)_*(\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}^{k-2})$ is positive closed and smooth outside I_-. Its mass is equal to $d_-^{(k-2)n}$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.15, the last integral is of order $O(d_-^{(k-2)n}) = o(d_+^n)$ since $d_+ = d_-^{k-1}$. The rest of the proof is the same as in Theorem 6.5. □

Remark 8.10. The automorphism f^{-1} is also regular of algebraic degree d_-. We can construct as above the Green function G^- and the Green (q,q)-currents T_-^q for f^{-1} with $1 \leq q \leq k-p$. The Green current T_-^{k-p} is the unique positive closed $(k-p,k-p)$-current of mass 1 supported on the rigid set $\overline{K}_-$. The measure $\mu := T_+^p \wedge T_-^{k-p}$ is the unique invariant measure of maximal entropy $p \log d_+$. It is exponentially mixing and saddle periodic point are equidistributed with respect to μ. We refer to [17, 19, 30, 29] for details. Note that for simplicity the exponential mixing was only given in [19] under the hypothesis $k = 2p$ but there is no difficulty to extend it to the general case. The main new tools which allow to deal with dynamics in higher dimension were developed by the authors in [26, 29, 30].

For the rest of this section, we discuss the case of automorphisms of compact Kähler manifolds. Let $f : X \to X$ be an automorphism on a compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) of dimension k.

Definition 8.11. We call *dynamical degree of order* q of f the spectral radius of f^* on $H^{q,q}(X, \mathbb{R})$.

It is not difficult to see that $d_0 = d_k = 1$. The following result is a consequence of Theorem 3.2, results by Gromov and Yomdin [40, 61] and some observations from [24].

Theorem 8.12. *The dynamical degrees of f are log-concave in q, i.e. $d_q^2 \geq d_{q-1}d_{q+1}$ for $1 \leq q \leq k-1$. In particular, there are two integers $0 \leq p, p' \leq k$ such that $d_0 < \cdots < d_p = \cdots = d_{p'} > \cdots > d_k$. Moreover, the topological entropy of f is equal to $\log d_p$. In particular, f has positive entropy if and only if $d_1 > 1$. In this later case, the entropy of f is bounded below by a positive constant depending only on the second Betti number of X.*

The following rigidity theorem was obtained by the authors in [30] using the theory of super-potentials.

Theorem 8.13. *Let $E \subset H^{q,q}(X, \mathbb{R})$, with $1 \leq q \leq p$, be a linear subspace invariant under f^*. Assume that all complex eigenvalues of $f^*_{|E}$ have modulus strictly larger than d_{q-1}. If a class c in E contains a non-zero positive closed (q,q)-current, then c is rigid.*

Note that d_q is an eigenvalue of f^* on $H^{q,q}(X, \mathbb{R})$. So we can construct positive closed (q,q)-currents T_+ in some rigid cohomology classes such that $f^*(T_+) = d_q T_+$. We call them *Green (q,q)-currents.* They have Hölder continuous super-potentials, see [30] for details.

Equidistribution results can be deduced from the last theorem by observing that if S_n are positive closed currents such that $\{S_n\}$ converge to the rigid class $\{T\}$ of a positive closed current T, then S_n converge to T. If T is a Green current, the speed of convergence of S_n can be bounded in term of the speed of convergence of $\{S_n\}$. However, the action of f^* on cohomology is far from being well-understood. The presence of Jordan blocks may induce slow convergence. Besides of this difficulty, the control of the convergence speed is satisfactory. The following result can be deduced from our study in [30]. The estimate can be improved using eigenvalues of f^* on $H^{q-1,q-1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and on $H^{q,q}(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 8.14. *Let T_+ be a Green (q,q)-current of f and c_+ its cohomology class. Let S be a positive closed (q,q)-current and c its cohomology class such that $\lambda := \|c - c_+\|$ is small enough. Then, there are constants $A > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ independent of S such that*

$$|\langle S - T_+, \varphi \rangle| \leq A\lambda^\alpha \|\varphi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2},$$

for every test $(k-q, k-q)$-form φ of class $\mathscr{C}^2$.

We don't know if the cohomology class of a Green current is always very rigid except for $q = 1$. The following result is obtained as in the case of surfaces and the case of regular automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^k$.

Theorem 8.15. *Assume that $d_2 < d_1^2$. Then f admits only one Green current of bidegree $(1,1)$ up to a multiplicative constant. Moreover, its cohomology class is very rigid.*

Under appropriate conditions on the action of f on cohomology, we can construct for f an invariant probability measure μ which turns out to be the unique measure of maximal entropy $\log d_p$. This measure is exponentially mixing. We refer to [18, 26, 30] for details. In a forthcoming work, we will show that saddle periodic points are equidistributed with respect to μ. As in the polynomial case, the new tools allowing to treat the higher dimension case were developed in [30, 29, 32].

References

[1] Ahlfors L.V.: Zur Theorie der Überlagerungsflchen. *Acta Math.* **65** (1935), no. 1, 157-194.

[2] Ahlfors L.V., Sario L.: *Riemann surfaces.* Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 26, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1960.

[3] Bedford E., Kim K.: Dynamics of rational surface automorphisms: rotation domains. *Amer. J. Math.* **134** (2012), no. 2, 379-405.

[4] Bedford E., Lyubich M., Smillie J.: Distribution of periodic points of polynomial diffeomorphisms of $\mathbf{C}^2$. *Invent. Math.* **114** (1993), no. 2, 277-288.

[5] Bedford E., Lyubich M., Smillie J.: Polynomial diffeomorphisms of $\mathbf{C}^2$. IV. The measure of maximal entropy and laminar currents. *Invent. Math.* **112** (1993), no. 1, 77-125.

[6] Bedford E., Smillie J.: Polynomial diffeomorphisms of $\mathbf{C}^2$: currents, equilibrium measure and hyperbolicity. *Invent. Math.* **103** (1991), no. 1, 69-99.

[7] Bedford E., Smillie J.: Polynomial diffeomorphisms of $\mathbf{C}^2$. III. Ergodicity, exponents and entropy of the equilibrium measure. *Math. Ann.* **294** (1992), no. 3, 395-420.

[8] Bost J.-B., Gillet H., Soulé C.: Heights of projective varieties and positive Green forms. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **7** (1994), no. 4, 903-1027.

[9] Burns D., Sibony N.: Limit currents and value distribution of holomorphic maps. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **62**, 1 (2012) 145-176.

[10] Cantat S.: Dynamique des automorphismes des surfaces K3. *Acta Math.* **187** (2001), no. 1, 1-57.

[11] Cantat S.: Croissance des variétés instables. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **23(4)** (2003), 1025-1042.

[12] Coman D., Guedj V.: Invariant currents and dynamical Lelong numbers. *J. Geom. Anal.* **14** (2004), no. 2, 199-213.

[13] Demailly J.-P.: Regularization of closed positive currents and intersection theory. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **1** (1992), no. 3, 361-409.

[14] Demailly J.-P.: *Complex analytic and differential geometry*, available at `www.fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly`.

[15] Demailly J.-P., Paun M.: Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **159** (2004), no. 3, 1247-1274.

[16] De Thélin H.: Sur la laminarité de certains courants. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **37** (2004), no. 2, 304-311.

[17] De Thélin H.: Sur les automorphismes réguliers de $\mathbb{C}^k$. *Publ. Mat.* **54** (2010), no. 1, 243-262.

[18] De Thélin H., Dinh T.-C.: Dynamics of automorphisms on compact Kähler manifolds. *Adv. Math.* **229** (2012), no. 5, 2640-2655.

[19] Dinh T.-C.: Decay of correlations for Hénon maps. *Acta Math.* **195** (2005), 253-264.

[20] Dinh T.-C.: Suites d'applications méromorphes multivaluées et courants laminaires. *J. Geom. Anal.* **15** (2005), no. 2, 207-227.

[21] Dinh T.-C., Nguyên V.-A.: The mixed Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations for compact Kähler manifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **16** (2006), no. 4, 838-849.

[22] Dinh T.-C., Nguyên V.-A., Sibony N.: Heat equation and ergodic theorems for Riemann surface laminations. *Math. Ann.* **354** (2012), no. 1, 331-376.

[23] Dinh T.-C., Nguyên V.-A., Sibony N.: Dynamics of horizontal-like maps in higher dimension. *Adv. Math.* **219** (2008), no. 5, 1689-1721.

[24] Dinh T.-C., Sibony N.: Groupes commutatifs d'automorphismes d'une variété kählérienne compacte. *Duke Math. J.* **123** (2004), no. 2, 311-328.

[25] Dinh T.-C., Sibony N.: Regularization of currents and entropy. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **37** (2004), no. 6, 959-971.

[26] Dinh T.-C., Sibony N.: Green currents for holomorphic automorphisms of compact Kähler manifolds. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **18** (2005), 291-312.

[27] Dinh T.-C., Sibony N.: Dynamics of regular birational maps in $\mathbf{P}^k$. *J. Funct. Anal.* **222** (2005), no. 1, 202-216.

[28] Dinh T.-C., Sibony N.: Geometry of currents, intersection theory and dynamics of horizontal-like maps. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **56** (2006), no. 2, 423-457.

[29] Dinh T.-C., Sibony N.: Super-potentials of positive closed currents, intersection theory and dynamics. *Acta Math.* **203** (2009), no. 1, 1-82.

[30] Dinh T.-C., Sibony N.: Super-potentials for currents on compact Kähler manifolds and dynamics of automorphisms. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **19** (2010), no. 3, 473-529.

[31] Dinh T.-C., Sibony N.: Dynamics in several complex variables: endomorphisms of projective spaces and polynomial-like mappings. *Holomorphic dynamical systems*, 165-294, Lecture Notes in Math., **1998**, Springer, Berlin, 2010.

[32] Dinh T.-C., Sibony N.: Density of positive closed currents and dynamics of Henon-type automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^k$ (part I). `arXiv:1203.5810`

[33] Dujardin R.: Hénon-like mappings in $\mathbb{C}^2$. *Amer. J. Math.* **126** (2004), no. 2, 439-472.

[34] Fornæss J.E., Sibony N.: Complex Hénon mappings in $\mathbf{C}^2$ and Fatou-Bieberbach domains. *Duke Math. J.* **65** (1992), no. 2, 345-380.

[35] Fornæss J.E., Sibony N.: Complex dynamics in higher dimensions. Notes partially written by Estela A. Gavosto. *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, **439**, Complex potential theory (Montreal, PQ, 1993), 131-186, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1994.

[36] Fornæss J.E., Sibony N.: Harmonic currents of finite energy and laminations. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **15** (2005), no. 5, 962-1003.

[37] Fornæss J.E., Sibony N.: Unique ergodicity of harmonic currents on singular foliations of $\mathbf{P}^2$. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **19** (2010), no. 5, 1334-1377.

[38] Friedland S., Milnor J.: Dynamical properties of plane polynomial automorphisms. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **9** (1989), no. 1, 67-99.

[39] Gromov M.: Convex sets and Kähler manifolds. *Advances in differential geometry and topology, 1-38, World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ,* 1990.

[40] Gromov M.: On the entropy of holomorphic maps. *Enseign. Math.* **49** (2003), 217-235. *Manuscript* (1977).

[41] Gunning R.C.: *Introduction to holomorphic functions of several variables. Vol. I, II. Function theory.* The Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Mathematics Series. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Advanced Books & Software, Pacific Grove, CA, 1990.

[42] Hörmander L.: *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. II. Differential operators with constant coefficients.* Reprint of the 1983 original. Classics in Mathematics. *Springer-Verlag*, Berlin, 2005.

[43] Jung H.W.E.: Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene. *J.Reine Angew. Math.* **184** (1942), 161-174.

[44] Katok A., Hasselblatt B. *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems.* With a supplementary chapter by Katok and Leonardo Mendoza. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, **54**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[45] Khovanskii A.G.: The geometry of convex polyhedra and algebraic geometry. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk.* **34:4** (1979), 160-161.

[46] Kobayashi S.: *Hyperbolic complex spaces.* Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **318**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[47] Lelong P.: *Fonctions plurisousharmoniques et formes différentielles positives*, Dunod Paris, 1968.

[48] McMullen C.T., Dynamics on blowups of the projective plane. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* No. **105** (2007), 49-89.

[49] McQuillan M.: Diophantine approximations and foliations. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **87** (1998), 121-174.

[50] Moncet A.: Géométrie et dynamique sur les surfaces algébriques réelles. *PhD thesis*, 2012. `arXiv:1207.0390`

[51] Nevanlinna R.: *Analytic functions.* Translated from the second German edition by Phillip Emig. *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Band **162** Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1970.

[52] Oguiso K.: A remark on dynamical degrees of automorphisms of hyperkähler manifolds. *Manuscripta Math.* **130** (2009), no. 1, 101-111.

[53] Shestakov I.P., Umirbaev U.U. The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** (2004), no. 1, 197-227.

[54] Sibony N.: Dynamique des applications rationnelles de $\mathbb{P}^k$, *Panoramas et Synthèses*, **8** (1999), 97-185.

[55] Uehara T.: Rational surface automorphisms with positive entropy, *preprint*, 2010. `arXiv:1009.2143`

[56] Voisin C.: *Théorie de Hodge et géométrie algébrique complexe.* Cours Spécialisés, **10**. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2002.

[57] Taflin J.: Equidistribution speed towards the Green current for endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$. *Adv. Math.* **227** (2011), no. 5, 2059-2081.

[58] Teissier B.: Du théorème de l'index de Hodge aux inégalités isopérimétriques. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, **288** (1979), no. 4, A287-A289.

[59] Timorin V.A.: Mixed Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations in a linear context. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **32** (1998), no. 4, 63-68, 96; *translation in Funct. Anal. Appl.* **32** (1998), no. 4, 268-272 (1999).

[60] Yau S.-T.: On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **31** (1978), no. 3, 339-411.

[61] Yomdin Y.: Volume growth and entropy. *Israel J. Math.*, **57** (1987), no. 3, 285-300.

T.-C. Dinh, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7586, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu,
4 place Jussieu, F-75005 Paris, France.
DMA, UMR 8553, Ecole Normale Supérieure, 45 rue d'Ulm, 75005 Paris, France.
`dinh@math.jussieu.fr`, `http://www.math.jussieu.fr/∼dinh`

N. Sibony, Université Paris-Sud, Mathématique - Bâtiment 425, 91405 Orsay, France.
`nessim.sibony@math.u-psud.fr`